# "Express Mail" mailing label number <u>EL 66976</u>9411 US Date of Deposit: <u>1/22/2002</u>

# Our Case No.10716/75

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE APPLICATION FOR UNITED STATES LETTERS PATENT

INVENTOR:

Sean H. Adams Clarissa J. Chui Audrey D. Goddard J. Christopher Grimaldi

TITLE:

BFIT COMPOSITIONS AND

METHODS OF USE

ATTORNEY:

Paul E. Rauch, Ph.D.

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE

P.O. BOX 10395

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610

(312) 321-4200

10

15

20

# BFIT COMPOSITIONS AND METHODS OF USE

#### RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority to U.S. provisional application Serial No. 60/263,362 filed 01/22/2001, which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

# BACKGROUND

Remarkable shifts in the energetic profile of brown adipose tissue (BAT) in mammals occur upon exposure to a cold environment. Nonshivering thermogenesis (NST) and a concomitant increase in fuel uptake and combustion is triggered in BAT, thus providing a source of metabolic heat in defense of body temperature. A catalyst of these events is uncoupling protein 1 (UCP1), which increases membrane proton permeability and thus accelerates the flux of fuel-derived reducing equivalents in the electron transport chain. Sympathetic outflow to BAT also increases upon exposure to a cold environment, eliciting rapid biochemical changes supporting thermogenesis, such as the stimulation of lypolysis. Furthermore, these changes are augmented by genetic regulation for the manifestation of NST in BAT, such as the rapid and dramatic upregulation of expression of BAT UCP1. Chronic exposure to a cold environment induces proliferation and differentiation of BAT, which by definition require changes at the level of gene regulation. Similar patterns of thermogenic activation may also occur in response to high-fat diets, possibly as a means to combat excessive weight gain under these conditions.

Genes induced in BAT by exposure to a cold environment, but repressed by exposure to a warm environment, may encode proteins involved in the transition of BAT towards a more thermogenic state. Induction of the PPARy-coactivator-1 (PGC-1) fits this pattern, and this BAT-abundant transcription regulator likely plays a central role in sparking changes important to the regulation of metabolism in a variety of tissues.

Other proteins, and the corresponding genes and antibodies, involved in the transition of BAT to a more thermogenic state, would be useful for

25

10

15

20

controlling and studying the thermogenic state of BAT, as well as controlling and studying weight gain and loss in mammals.

A partial putative 5' open gene, KIAA0707, has been predicted to encode a protein with a molecular weight of 68 kDa (Ishikawa et al., 1998) (GenBank Accession No. AB014607). The protein that is predicted to be encoded by KIAA0707 contains a StAR-related lipid-transfer (START) domain (where "StAR" stands for steroidogenic acute regulatory protein; START domains are believed to bind lipids) and two acyl-CoA-thioesterase domains (Ponting and Aravind, 1999)).

The presence of acyl-CoA thioesterase (hydrolase) activity has been recognized in a wide variety of tissues since at least the 1950s. Despite extensive biochemical characterization of thioesterase proteins over the last few decades, and the molecular identification of numerous functional family members, a complete understanding of the physiological role of most thioesterases has remained elusive. Efforts to clarify the biological functions of specific acyl-CoA thioesterases will require studies placing the enzymes into a physiological context.

# BRIEF SUMMARY

In a first aspect, the present invention is an isolated polypeptide having at least 80% sequence identity to the sequence SEQ ID NO:2, 4 or 6.

In a second aspect, the present invention is a polynucleotide, or its compliment, encoding any of these polypeptides.

In a third aspect, the present invention is an antibody that specifically binds any of these polypeptides.

In a fourth aspect, the present invention is a method of treating a metabolic disease by administering a polynucleotide, or its complement, encoding any of these polypeptides, or the polypeptides themselves may be directly administered.

In a fifth aspect, the present invention is a method of measuring BFIT agonist or antagonist activity of a compound, including contacting the

25

compound with a composition containing a thioester and a polypeptide having at least 80% sequence identify to the sequence SEQ ID NO:2, 4 or 6.

In a sixth aspect, the present invention is a method of measuring BFIT transcription up-regulation or down-regulation activity of a compound, including contacting the compound with a composition comprising a RNA polymerase and a polynucleotide encoding any of these polypeptides.

In a seventh aspect, the present invention is a method of measuring BFIT translation up-regulation or down-regulation activity of a compound, including contacting the compound with a composition comprising a ribosome and a polynucleotide encoding any of these polypeptides.

In an eighth aspect, the invention also provides for compositions comprising one or more of the polynucleotides and/or polypeptides as described herein. The invention also provides articles of manufacture and kits comprising one or more of the polynucleotides and/or polypeptides as described herein.

In a final aspect, methods of screening for antagonists, agonists, and other molecules that regulate or affect BFIT polynucleotide or polypeptide activity are also provided.

# BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 illustrates quantitative expression analysis of mRNA samples derived from cold-challenged, control, and warm-challenged mice.

Figure 2 shows the amino acid sequence of human and murine BFIT variants.

Figure 3A shows the BFIT mRNA abundance in BAT of mice from different temperature environments.

Figure 3B shows the BFIT mRNA level in different mouse tissues.

Figures 4A, 4B and 4C show the BFIT mRNA abundance in BAT from different mouse strains fed a high-fat diet.

Figure 5 is a schematic representation of the human BFIT genomic organization.

arive El Jeny

5

10

15

20

25

Figures 6A, 6B and 6C show the abundance of BFIT1 and BFIT2 mRNA in different human tissues and hibernoma.

Figures 7A depicts thioesterase activity of partially-purified recombinant hBFIT1.

Figure 7B depicts thioesterase activity of partially-purified recombinant hBFIT2

# DETAILED DESCRIPTION

A gene encoding a BAT-abundant acyl-CoA thioesterase, termed "BFIT" (brown fat inducible thioesterase) has been discovered. This gene has been found to be induced or repressed by cold- and warm-exposure, respectively.

The important role of BFIT in supporting enhanced metabolism is evidenced by its cold-induction in BAT, thioesterase and lipid-binding domains, co-localization with chromosomal sites associated with body fat in humans and mice, and a significantly depressed BAT expression level in obesity-prone mice as compared to their lean counterparts. Based on these facts, BFIT acts to increase energy expenditure and fatty acid oxidation.

Murine BFIT, termed "mBFIT2", is homologous to the human KIAA0707 (hereinafter termed "hBFIT1"). A novel splice variant of hBFIT1, termed "hBFIT2", is orthologous to mBFIT2. The term "BFIT" means hBFIT1 and all polypeptides having at least about 80% sequence identity to hBFIT1, including mBFIT2 and hBFIT2. The sequence of hBFIT1 is SEQ ID NO:2, the sequence of hBFIT2 is SEQ ID NO:4, and the sequence of mBFIT2 is SEQ ID NO:6. The cDNA sequence encoding hBFIT1 is SEQ ID NO:1, the cDNA sequence encoding hBFIT2 is SEQ ID NO:3, and the cDNA sequence encoding mBFIT2 is SEQ ID NO:5.

BFIT catalyzes hydrolytic thioester cleavage, and in the case of acyl-CoA as a substrate, yields non-esterified fatty acids (NEFAs) and CoASH. Modification of the cellular acyl-CoA profile may be the mechanism by which BFIT activity impacts tissue function; BFIT affects the metabolic state of BAT and other tissues, possibly through modulation of certain acyl-CoA profiles

14

20

5

10

15

25

which affect intracellular signaling pathways. Thioesters are also known as thiol esters, and are compounds that include the -(C=O)-S- moiety, for example acyl-CoA thioesters, such an acyl-CoA containing 2-20, preferably 4-16, carbon atoms in the acyl moiety.

5

10

Increasing the expression of BFIT in a mammal, such as a human, for example through gene therapy, is effective to combat metabolic diseases, such as obesity, or to increase weight loss. Similarly, decreasing the expression of BFIT, for example through gene therapy or administration of antisense polynucleotides, is effective to combat metabolic diseases, such as cachexia, or to increase weight gain. The term "metabolic disease" means a condition in which a disruption of normal energy homeostasis is a causative or exacerbating factor underlying disease. For example, metabolic disease includes obesity, wasting disorders such cachexia (associated with, for example, HIV infection, sepsis and trauma, and cancer), and diabetes (including Type II diabetes and insulin resistance).

15

20

O

UII (III

Because of the important role of BFIT in supporting enhanced metabolism, it will be useful to test for compounds having the property of increasing or decreasing BFIT activity. This increase in activity may come about in a variety of ways, for example: (1) by increasing or decreasing the copies of the gene in the cell (amplifiers and deamplifiers); (2) by increasing or decreasing transcription of the BFIT gene (transcription up-regulators and down-regulators); (3) by increasing or decreasing the translation of BFIT mRNA into protein (translation up-regulators and down-regulators); or (4) by increasing or decreasing the activity of BFIT itself (agonists and antagonists).

25

Compounds that are amplifiers and deamplifiers may be identified by contacting cells or organisms with the compound, and then measuring the amount of DNA present that encodes BFIT [Ausubel, 1987-#114].

Compounds that are transcription up-regulators and down-regulators may be identified by contacting cells or organisms with the compound, and then measuring the amount of mRNA produced that encodes BFIT [Ausubel, 1987-#114]. Compounds that are translation up-regulators and down-regulators may be identified by contacting cells or organisms with the compound, and

10

15

20

then measuring the amount of BFIT polypeptide produced [Ausubel, 1987-#114]. Compounds that are agonists or antagonists may be identified by contacting cells or organisms with the compound, and then measuring thioester hydrolysis; this may also be carried out *in vitro* (Lee, K.Y. and H. Schulz 1979).

Compounds that are amplifiers, transcription up-regulators, translation up-regulators or agonists, are effective to combat metabolic diseases that can be ameliorated by increasing metabolic activity, such as obesity. Conversely, compounds that are deamplifiers, transcription down-regulators, translation down-regulators or antagonists, are effective to combat metabolic diseases that can be ameliorated by decreasing metabolic activity, such as cachexia, or to increase weight gain. Gene therapy is another way to up-regulate or down-regulate transcription and/or translation.

Both BFIT polypeptides and the polynucleotides can be used in clinical screens to test for metabolic disease etiology, or to assess the level of risk for these disorders. Tissue samples, especially BAT, of a patient can be examined for the amount BFIT polypeptide or BFIT mRNA present. When amounts significantly smaller or larger than normal are found, they will be indicative of metabolic disease, or risk of metabolic disease. Mutation of BFIT can have altered activity, and a patient with such a mutation may have a metabolic disease or be at risk for a metabolic disease. Finally, determining the amount of expression of BFIT in a mammal, in a tissue sample, or in a tissue culture, can be used to discover inducers or repressors of the gene.

"Percent (%) nucleic acid sequence identity" with respect to BFITencoding nucleic acid sequences identified herein is defined as the
percentage of nucleotides in a candidate sequence that are identical with the
nucleotides in the BFIT nucleic acid sequence of interest, after aligning the
sequences and introducing gaps, if necessary, to achieve the maximum
percent sequence identity. Alignment for purposes of determining % nucleic
acid sequence identity can be achieved in various ways that are within the
skill in the art, for instance, using publicly available computer software such as
BLAST, BLAST-2, ALIGN or Megalign (DNASTAR) software. Those skilled in

25

5

10

15

20

25

30

the art can determine appropriate parameters for measuring alignment, including any algorithms needed to achieve maximal alignment over the full length of the sequences being compared.

When nucleotide sequences are aligned, the % nucleic acid sequence identity of a given nucleic acid sequence C to, with, or against a given nucleic acid sequence D (which can alternatively be phrased as a given nucleic acid sequence C that has or comprises a certain % nucleic acid sequence identity to, with, or against a given nucleic acid sequence D) can be calculated as follows:

%nucleic acid sequence identity = W/Z · 100

where

W is the number of nucleotides cored as identical matches by the sequence alignment program's or algorithm's alignment of C and D

and

Z is the total number of nucleotides in D.

When the length of nucleic acid sequence C is not equal to the length of nucleic acid sequence D, the % nucleic acid sequence identity of C to D will not equal the % nucleic acid sequence identity of D to C.

Percent nucleic acid sequence identity values may also be obtained by using the WU-BLAST-2 computer program (Altschul and Gish, 1996). Most of the WU-BLAST-2 search parameters are set to the default values. Those not set to default values, i.e., the adjustable parameters, are set with the following values: overlap span = 1, overlap fraction = 0.125, word threshold (T) = 11, and scoring matrix = BLOSUM62. When WU-BLAST-2 is employed, a % nucleic acid sequence identity value is determined by dividing (a) the number of matching identical nucleotides between the nucleic acid sequence of the BFIT polypeptide-encoding nucleic acid molecule of interest having a sequence derived from the sequence BFIT polypeptide-encoding nucleic acid and the comparison nucleic acid molecule of interest (i.e., the sequence against which the BFIT polypeptide-encoding nucleic acid molecule of interest is being compared which may be a variant BFIT polypucleotide) as

10

15

20

25

determined by WU-BLAST-2 by (b) the total number of nucleotides of the BFIT polypeptide-encoding nucleic acid molecule of interest. For example, in the statement "an isolated nucleic acid molecule comprising a nucleic acid sequence A which has or having at least 80 % nucleic acid sequence identity to the nucleic acid sequence B", the nucleic acid sequence A is the comparison nucleic acid molecule of interest and the nucleic acid sequence B is the nucleic acid sequence of the BFIT polypeptide-encoding nucleic acid molecule of interest

Percent nucleic acid sequence identity may also be determined using the sequence comparison program NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information)-BLAST2 (Altschul et al., 1997)). The NCBI-BLAST2 sequence comparison program is publicly available at <a href="www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST">www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST</a> (BLAST home page); most recently, the specific program was located at <a href="www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gorf/bl2.html">www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gorf/bl2.html</a>; if these links should be broken, the National Center for Biotechnology (NCBI) homepage is <a href="www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov">www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov</a>. NCBI-BLAST2 uses several search parameters, wherein all of those search parameters are set to default values including, for example, unmask = yes, strand = all, expected occurrences = 10, minimum low complexity length = 15, multi-pass e-value = 0.01, constant for multi-pass = 25, dropoff for final gapped alignment = 25 and scoring matrix BLOSUM62.

In situations where NCBI-BLAST2 is employed for sequence comparisons, the % nucleic acid sequence identity of a given nucleic acid sequence C to, with, or against a given nucleic acid sequence D (which can alternatively be phrased as a given nucleic acid sequence C that has or comprises a certain % nucleic acid sequence identity to, with, or against a given nucleic acid sequence D) is calculated as follows:

%nucleic acid sequence identity = W/Z · 100

30

where

W is the number of nucleotides cored as identical matches by the sequence alignment program's or algorithm's alignment of C and D

and

Z is the total number of nucleotides in D.

When the length of nucleic acid sequence C is not equal to the length of nucleic acid sequence D, the % nucleic acid sequence identity of C to D will not equal the % nucleic acid sequence identity of D to C.

In other embodiments, BFIT variant polynucleotides are nucleic acid molecules that encode an active BFIT polypeptide and which are capable of hybridizing, preferably under stringent hybridization and wash conditions, to nucleotide sequences encoding a full-length BFIT polypeptide as disclosed herein. BFIT variant polypeptides may be those that are encoded by a BFIT variant polynucleotide.

The term "control sequences" refers to nucleotide sequences that enable expression of an operably linked coding sequence in a particular host organism. Prokaryotic control sequences include 1) a promoter, 2) optionally an operator sequence, and 3) a ribosome-binding site. Eukaryotic cells use 1) promoters, 2) polyadenylation signals, and 3) enhancers.

Nucleic acid is "operably linked" when in it is in a functional relationship with another nucleic acid sequence. For example, a nucleotide sequence for a pre-sequence or secretory leader is operably linked to DNA for a polypeptide if it is expressed as a pre-protein that participates in the secretion of the polypeptide; a promoter or enhancer is operably linked to a coding sequence if it affects the transcription of the sequence; or a ribosome binding site is operably linked to a coding sequence if it is positioned so as to facilitate translation. Generally, "operably linked" means that the DNA sequences being linked are contiguous, and, in the case of a secretory leader, contiguous and in reading phase. However, enhancers do not have to be contiguous. Linking is accomplished by ligation at convenient restriction sites. If such sites do not exist, the synthetic oligonucleotide adaptors or linkers are used in accordance with conventional practice.

The full- or partial length BFIT gene sequence may be used to "pull out" similar (homologous) sequences. Such hybridization probes can be used to identify homologous sequences that encode: 1) full-length BFIT cDNA from

10

5

15

20

The first first first first that have not to be the first first than the first th

30

a cDNA library from any species (e.g. human, murine, feline, canine, bacterial, viral, retroviral, yeast, or cDNAs, 2) human cDNA, 3) variants within a species, and 4) homologues from other species.

In such experiments, the probe length is optimally about 20 to about 50 bases. Such probes can be designed by one of skill in the art to hybridize the desired sequences. For example, to identify a full-length cDNA, the probe would preferably comprise unique sequences. To find related sequences that may encode related genes, the probe may be designed to encode unique sequences or degenerate sequences. Unique regions may be determined without undue experimentation; sequences may also be from genomic sequences including promoters, enhancer elements and introns of BFIT gene sequence.

An example using such a probe would be a screen to isolate the coding region of a BFIT gene in another species. Using the known BFIT DNA sequence, a probe is designed and made of about 40 bases. Hybridization probes may be labeled by a variety of labels, including nucleic acids label with radionuclides such as <sup>32</sup>P or <sup>35</sup>S, or enzymatic labels such as alkaline phosphatase coupled to the probe via avidin/biotin coupling systems. Labeled probes having a sequence complementary to that of the BFIT gene of the present invention can be used to screen libraries of cDNA, genomic DNA or mRNA of a desired species to determine which members of such libraries the probe hybridizes to. Hybridization techniques are well known to those of skill in the art.

Any EST sequences disclosed in the present application may similarly be employed as probes, using the methods disclosed herein.

If the BFIT coding sequence encodes a protein that binds another protein, the BFIT protein can be used as a probe to identify the interacting molecules. These approaches have functional applications. For example, such methods can identify inhibitors or agonists of the protein-protein binding interaction. Screening assays can identify compounds that mimic the biological activity of a native BFIT or a protein interacting with BFIT. Such screening assays will include those amenable to high-throughout screening of

10

5

15

25

30

chemical libraries that may identify small molecule drug candidates, such as synthetic organic or inorganic compounds. The assays can be performed in a variety of formats, including protein-protein binding assays, biochemical screening assays, immunoassays and cell based assays, which are well characterized in the art.

10

5

15

20

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY AND TH

25

30

If a BFIT polypeptide interacts with but does not bind another polypeptide, the identity of the interacting polypeptide can be identified by well known methods. These assays incorporate techniques such as cross-linking. co-immunoprecipitation and co-purification through gradients or chromatographic columns. Protein-protein interactions can also be monitored by the yeast two-hybrid system described by Fields and Song (1989), Chien et al. (1991) and as disclosed by Chevray and Nathans, (1991). Many transcriptional activators, such as yeast GAL4, consist of two physically discrete modular domains, one acting as the DNA-binding domain, the other one functioning as the transcription-activation domain. The yeast expression system described in the foregoing publications (generally referred to as the "two-hybrid system") takes advantage of this property, and employs two hybrid proteins, one in which the target protein is fused to the DNA-binding domain of GAL4, and another, in which candidate activating proteins are fused to the activation domain. The expression of a GAL1-lacZ reporter gene under control of a GAL4-activated promoter depends on reconstitution of GAL4 activity via protein-protein interaction. Colonies containing interacting polypeptides are detected with a chromogenic substrate for β-galactosidase. A complete kit (MATCHMAKER™) for identifying protein-protein interactions between two specific proteins using the two-hybrid technique is commercially available from Clontech. This system can also be extended to map protein domains involved in specific protein interactions as well as to pinpoint amino acid residues that are crucial for these interactions.

Compounds that interfere with the interaction of a BFIT polypeptide or polynucleotide identified herein and other intra- or extracellular components can be tested as follows: usually a reaction mixture is prepared containing the polynucleotide or polypeptide of interest and the intra- or extracellular

5

10

15

25

component under conditions and for a time allowing for the interaction and binding of the two products. To test the ability of a candidate compound to inhibit binding, the reaction is run in the absence and in the presence of the test compound. In addition, a placebo may be added to a third reaction mixture, to serve as positive control. The binding (complex formation) between the test compound and the intra- or extracellular component present in the mixture is monitored as described hereinabove. The formation of a complex in the control reaction(s) but not in the reaction mixture containing the test compound indicates that the test compound interferes with the interaction of the test compound and its reaction partner.

An "isolated" BFIT polypeptide-encoding nucleic acid is a nucleic acid molecule that is identified and separated from at least one contaminant nucleic acid molecule with which it is ordinarily associated in the natural source of the BFIT polypeptide nucleic acid. An isolated BFIT polypeptide nucleic acid molecule is other than in the form or setting in which it is found in nature. Isolated BFIT polypeptide nucleic acid molecules therefore are distinguished from the specific BFIT polypeptide nucleic acid molecule, as it exists in natural cells. However, an isolated BFIT polypeptide nucleic acid molecules contained in cells that ordinarily express the BFIT polypeptide where, for example, the nucleic acid molecule is in a chromosomal location different from that of natural cells, or as provided extra-chromosomally.

Methods of eukaryotic cell transfection and prokaryotic cell transformation, are well known in the art, and may be used to recombinantly produce BFIT protein. The choice of host cell will dictate the preferred technique for introducing the nucleic acid of interest. Table A, which is not meant to be limiting, summarizes many of the known techniques in the art. Introduction of nucleic acids into an organism may also be done with ex vivo techniques that use an in vitro method of transfection.

Table A: Methods to introduce nucleic acid into cells

Cells	Methods	References	Notes

Table A: Methods to introduce nucleic acid into cells

Cells	Methods	References	Notes
Prokaryotes (bacteria)	Calcium chloride	(Cohen et al., 1972; Hanahan, 1983; Mandel and Higa, 1970)	
(bacteria)	Electroporation	(Shigekawa and Dower, 1988)	
Eukaryotes  Mammalian cells	Calcium phosphate transfection	N-(2- Hydroxyethyl)piperazine- N-(2-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffered saline solution (Chen and Okayama, 1988; Graham and van der Eb, 1973; Wigler et al., 1978) BES (N,N-bis(2- hydroxyethyl)-2- aminoethanesulfonic acid) buffered solution (ishiura et al., 1982)	Cells may be "shocked" with glycerol or dimethylsulfoxid e (DMSO) to increase transfection efficiency [Ausubel, 1987-#114].
	Diethylaminoethyl (DEAE)-Dextran transfection	(Fujita et al., 1986; Lopata et al., 1984; Selden et al., 1986)	Most useful for transient, but not stable, transfections. Chloroquine can be used to increase efficiency.
	Electroporation	(Neumann et al., 1982; Potter, 1988; Potter et al., 1984; Wong and Neumann, 1982)	Especially useful for hard-to-transfect lymphocytes.
	Cationic lipid reagent transfection	(Elroy-Stein and Moss, 1990; Felgner et al., 1987; Rose et al., 1991; Whitt et al., 1990)	Applicable to both in vivo and in vitro transfection.

Table A: Methods to introduce nucleic acid into cells

Cells	Methods	References	Notes
	Retroviral	Production exemplified by (Cepko et al., 1984; Miller and Buttimore, 1986; Pear et al., 1993) Infection <i>In vitro</i> and <i>In vitro</i> : (Austin and Cepko, 1990; Bodine et al., 1991; Fekete and Cepko, 1993; Lemischka et al., 1986; Turner et al., 1990; Williams et al., 1984)	Lengthy process, many packaging lines available at ATCC. Applicable to both <i>in vivo</i> and <i>in vitro</i> transfection.
	Polybrene	(Chaney et al., 1986; Kawai and Nishizawa, 1984)	
	Microinjection	(Capecchi, 1980)	Can be used to establish cell lines carrying integrated copies of BFIT DNA sequences.
	Protoplast fusion	(Rassoulzadegan et al., 1982; Sandri-Goldin et al., 1981; Schaffner, 1980)	
Insect cells (in vitro)	Baculovirus systems	(Luckow, 1991; Miller, 1988; O'Reilly et al., 1992)	Useful for in vitro production of proteins with eukaryotic modifications.
	Electroporation	(Becker and Guarente, 1991)	
Yeast	Lithium acetate	(Gietz et al., 1998; Ito et al., 1983)	
	Spheroplast fusion	(Beggs, 1978; Hinnen et al., 1978)	Laborious, can produce aneuploids.
Plant cells (general reference: (Hansen and Wright,	Agrobacterium transformation	(Bechtold and Pelletier, 1998; Escudero and Hohn, 1997; Hansen and Chilton, 1999; Touraev and al., 1997)	

Table A: Methods to introduce nucleic acid into cells

Cells	Methods	References	Notes
1999))	Biolistics (microprojectiles)	(Finer et al., 1999; Hansen and Chilton, 1999; Shillito, 1999)	
	Electroporation (protoplasts)	(Fromm et al., 1985; Ou- Lee et al., 1986; Rhodes et al., 1988; Saunders et al., 1989) May be combined with liposomes (Trick and al., 1997)	
	Polyethylene glycol (PEG) treatment	(Shillito, 1999)	
	Liposomes	May be combined with electroporation (Trick and al., 1997)	
	in planta microinjection	(Leduc and al., 1996; Zhou and al., 1983)	
	Seed imbibition	(Trick and al., 1997)	
	Laser beam	(Hoffman, 1996)	
	Silicon carbide whiskers	(Thompson and al., 1995)	

Any cell may be transfected or transformed, pending the development of a suitable method. Table B, which is not meant to be limiting, lists many examples of cells commonly used as hosts for DNA or the expression of DNA in vitro.

Table B: Examples of hosts for cloning or expressing nucleic acids (DNA) (not intended to be limiting)

Organisms	Examples	Sources and References*
Prokaryotes		
Enterobacteriaceae	E. coli K 12 strain MM294 X1776 W3110 K5 772	ATCC 31,446 ATCC 31,537 ATCC 27,325 ATCC 53,635
Enterobacteriaceae	E. coli	
	Enterobacter	

Table B: Examples of hosts for cloning or expressing nucleic acids (DNA) (not intended to be limiting)

Organisms	Examples	Sources and
	F	References*
	Erwinia	
	Klebsiella	
	Proteus	
	Salmonella (S.	
	tyhpimurium)	
	Serratia (S. marcescans)	
	Shigella	
	Bacilli (B. subtilis and B.	
	licheniformis)	
	Pseudomonas (P.	
	aeruginosa)	
	Streptomyces *	
Eukaryotes		
,	Saccharomyces cerevisiae	
	Schizosaccharomyces	
	pombe	
	Kluyveromyces	(Fleer et al., 1991)
•	K. lactis MW98-8C.	(de Louvencourt et al.,
	CBS683, CBS4574	1983)
	K. fragilis	ATCC 12,424
	K. bulgaricus	ATCC 16,045
	K. wickeramii	ATCC 24,178
	K. waltii	ATCC 56,500
Yeasts	K. drosophilarum	ATCC 36,906
Teasis	K. thermotolerans	ATCC 36,906
		(FRO 400000 4000)
	K. marxianus; yarrowia	(EPO 402226, 1990)
	Pichia pastoris	(Sreekrishna et al., 1988)
	Candida	
	Trichoderma reesia	
	Neurospora crassa	(Case et al., 1979)
	Torulopsis	
	Rhodotorula	
	Schwanniomyces (S.	
	occidentalis)	
	Neurospora	
	Penicillium	
Filamentous Furzi	Tolypocladium	(WO 91/00357, 1991)
Filamentous Fungi		(Kelly and Hynes, 1985;
	Aspergillus (A. nidulans	Tilburn et al., 1983;
	and A. niger)	Yelton et al., 1984)
Invertebrate cells	Drosophila S2	

Examples	Sources and References*
Spodoptera Sf9	
Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO)	
simian COS	ATCC CRL 1651
HEK 293	ATCC CRE 1051
	Spodoptera Sf9 Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) simian COS COS-7

Vectors act as tools to shuttle DNA between host cells or as a means to produce a large quantity of the DNA. Some vectors function only in prokaryotes, while others function in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, enabling large-scale DNA preparation from prokaryotes to expression in a eukaryote. Inserting the DNA of interest, such as BFIT nucleotide sequence or a fragment, is accomplished by ligation techniques and/or mating protocols well-known to the skilled artisan. Such DNA is inserted such that its integration does not disrupt any necessary components of the vector. In the case of vectors that are used to express the inserted DNA protein, the introduced DNA is operably linked to the vector elements that govern its transcription and translation.

Vector choice is governed by the organism or cells being used and the desired fate of the vector. Vectors may replicate once in the target cells, or may be "suicide" vectors. In general, vectors comprise signal sequences, origins of replication, marker genes, enhancer elements, promoters, and transcription termination sequences. The choice of these elements depends on the organisms in which they will be used and are easily determined by one of skill in the art. Some of these elements may be conditional, such as an inducible or conditional promoter that is turned "on" when conditions are appropriate. Examples of such promoters include tissue-specific, which relegate expression to certain cell types, steroid-responsive, or heat-shock inducible. Some bacterial repression systems, such as the *lac* operon, have been exploited in mammalian cells. Vectors often have a selectable marker

15

10

that facilitate identifying those cells that have taken up the exogenous nucleic acids. Many selectable markers are well known in the art for the use with prokaryotes, usually antibiotic-resistance genes or the use of autotrophy and auxotrophy. Table C, which is not meant to be limiting, lists often-used selectable markers for mammalian cell transfection.

Table C: Useful selectable markers for eukaryote cell transfection

Selectable Marker	Selection	Action	Reference
Adenosine deaminase (ADA)	Media includes 9-β- D-xylofuranosyl adenine (Xyl-A)	Conversion of Xyl- A to Xyl-ATP, which incorporates into nucleic acids, killing cells. ADA detoxifies	(Kaufman et al., 1986)
Dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR)	Methotrexate (MTX) and dialyzed serum (purine-free media)	MTX competitive inhibitor of DHFR. In absence of exogenous purines, cells require DHFR, a necessary enzyme in purine biosynthesis.	(Simonsen and Levinson, 1983)
Aminoglycoside phosphotransferase ("APH", "neo", "G418")	G418	G418, an aminoglycoside detoxified by APH, interferes with ribosomal function and consequently, translation.	(Southern and Berg, 1982)
Hygromycin-B- phosphotransferase (HPH)	hygromycin-B	Hygromycin-B, an aminocyclitol detoxified by HPH, disrupts protein translocation and promotes mistranslation.	(Palmer et al., 1987)
Thymidine kinase (TK)	Forward selection (TK+): Media (HAT) incorporates aminopterin. Reverse selection	Forward: Aminopterin forces cells to synthesze dTTP from thymidine, a	(Littlefield, 1964)

Table C: Useful selectable markers for eukaryote cell transfection

Selectable Marker	Selection	Action	Reference
	(TK-): Media incorporates 5- bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU).	pathway requiring TK. Reverse: TK phosphorylates BrdU, which incorporates into nucleic acids, killing cells.	

To monitor BFIT gene expression or to facilitate biochemical purification, BFIT nucleotide sequence can be fused to a heterologous peptide. These include reporter enzymes and epitope tags that are bound by specific antibodies. Table D, which is not meant to be limiting, lists some of the common fusions used to report gene expression.

Table D: Reporter proteins

Reporter	in vitro	in vivo	Notes	Reference
Human growth hormone (hGH)	radioimmunoassay	none	Expensive, insensitive, narrow linear range.	(Selden et al., 1986)
β-glucuronidase (GUS)	colorimetric, fluorescent, or chemiluminescent	colorimetric (histochemical staining with X-gluc)	sensitive, broad linear range, non- iostopic.	(Gallagher, 1992)
Green fluorescent protein (GFP) and related molecules (RFP, BFP, etc.)	fluorescent	fluorescent	can be used in live cells; resists photobleaching	(Chalfie et al., 1994)
Luciferase (firefly)	bioluminsecent	bioluminescent	protein is unstable, difficult to reproduce, signal is brief	(de Wet et al., 1987)
Chloramphenicoal acetyltransferase (CAT)	chromatography, differential extraction, fluorescent, or immunoassay	none	expensive radioactive substrates, time- consuming, insensitive,	(Gorman et al., 1982)

Table D: Reporter proteins

Reporter	in vitro	in vivo	Notes	Reference
			narrow linear	
			range	
β-galactosidase	colorimetric, fluorescence, chemiluminscence	colorimetric (histochemical staining with X-gal), bioluminescent in live cells	sensitive, broad linear range; some cells have high endogenous activity	(Alam and Cook, 1990)
Secrete alkaline phosphatase (SEAP)	colorimetric, bioluminescent, chemiluminescent	none	chemiluminscence assay is sensitive and broad linear range; some cells have endogenouse alkaline phosphatase activity	(Berger et al., 1988)

"BFIT nucleotide variant" means a nucleic acid that encodes a BFIT polypeptide variant. "BFIT polypeptide variant" includes an active BFIT polypeptide as defined above or below having at least: 1) about 80% amino acid sequence identity with a full-length sequence BFIT polypeptide sequence as disclosed herein, 2) a BFIT polypeptide sequence lacking the signal peptide as disclosed herein, 3) an extracellular domain of a BFIT polypeptide, with or without the signal peptide, as disclosed herein, or 4) any other fragment of a full-length BFIT polypeptide sequence as disclosed herein. For example. BFIT polypeptide variants include BFIT polypeptides wherein one or more amino acid residues are added or deleted at the N- or C- terminus of the full-length amino acid sequence. A BFIT polypeptide variant will have at least about 80% amino acid sequence identity, preferably at least about 81% amino acid sequence identity, more preferably at least about 82%, 83%, 84%, 85%, 86%, 87%, 88%, 89%, 90%, 91%, 92%, 93%, 94%, 95%, 96%, 97%, 98% amino acid sequence identity and most preferably at least about 99% amino acid sequence identity with a full-length sequence BFIT polypeptide sequence as disclosed herein. A BFIT polypeptide variant may have a sequence

10

5

10

15

25

lacking the signal peptide as disclosed herein, an extracellular domain of a BFIT polypeptide, with or without the signal peptide, as disclosed herein or any other fragment of a full-length BFIT polypeptide sequence as disclosed herein. Variants do not encompass the native nucleotide sequence. Ordinarily, BFIT variant polypeptides are at least about 10 amino acids in length, often at least about 20 amino acids in length, more often at least about 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 150, 200, or 300 amino acids in length, or more.

"Percent (%) amino acid sequence identity" is defined as the percentage of amino acid residues that are identical with amino acid residues in the disclosed BFIT polypeptide sequence in a candidate sequence when the two sequences are aligned. To determine % amino acid identity, sequences are aligned and if necessary, gaps are introduced to achieve the maximum % sequence identity; conservative substitutions are not considered as part of the sequence identity. Amino acid sequence alignment procedures to determine percent identity are well known to those of skill in the art. Often publicly available computer software such as BLAST, BLAST2, ALIGN2 or Megalign (DNASTAR) software are used to align peptide sequences. Those skilled in the art can determine appropriate parameters for measuring alignment, including any algorithms needed to achieve maximal alignment over the full length of the sequences being compared.

When amino acid sequences are aligned, the % amino acid sequence identity of a given amino acid sequence A to, with, or against a given amino acid sequence B (which can alternatively be phrased as a given amino acid sequence A that has or comprises a certain % amino acid sequence identity to, with, or against a given amino acid sequence B) can be calculated as:

%amino acid sequence identity = X/Y · 100

where

30

X is the number of amino acid residues scored as identical matches by the sequence alignment program's or algorithm's alignment of A and B

10

15

20

and

Y is the total number of amino acid residues in B.

If the length of amino acid sequence A is not equal to the length of amino acid sequence B, the % amino acid sequence identity of A to B will not equal the % amino acid sequence identity of B to A.

Percent amino acid sequence identity values may be obtained using the WU-BLAST2 computer program (Altschul and Gish, 1996)). Most of the WU-BLAST2 search parameters are set to the default values. Those not set to default values, i.e., the adjustable parameters, are set with the following values: overlap span = 1, overlap fraction = 0.125, word threshold (T) = 11, and scoring matrix = BLOSUM62. When WU-BLAST2 is employed, a % amino acid sequence identity value is determined by dividing (a) the number of matching identical amino acid residues between the amino acid sequence of the BFIT polypeptide of interest having a sequence derived from the native BFIT polypeptide and the comparison amino acid sequence of interest (i.e., the sequence against which the BFIT polypeptide of interest is being compared which may be a BFIT variant polypeptide) as determined by WU-BLAST2 by (b) the total number of amino acid residues of the BFIT polypeptide of interest. For example, in the statement "a polypeptide comprising an the amino acid sequence A which has or having at least 80 % amino acid sequence identity to the amino acid sequence B", the amino acid sequence A is the comparison amino acid sequence of interest and the amino acid sequence B is the amino acid sequence of the BFIT polypeptide of interest.

Percent amino acid sequence identity may also be determined using the sequence comparison program NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information)-BLAST2 (Altschul et al., 1997)). The NCBI-BLAST2 sequence comparison program is publicly available at <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST">www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST</a> (BLAST home page); most recently, the specific program was located at <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gorf/bl2.html">www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gorf/bl2.html</a>; if these links should be broken, the National Center for Biotechnology (NCBI) homepage is <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov">www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov</a>. NCBI-BLAST2 uses several search parameters, wherein all of those search

25

parameters are set to default values including, for example, unmask = yes, strand = all, expected occurrences = 10, minimum low complexity length = 15/5, multi-pass e-value = 0.01, constant for multi-pass = 25, dropoff for final gapped alignment = 25 and scoring matrix BLOSUM62.

5

10

15

20

In situations where NCBI-BLAST2 is employed for amino acid sequence comparisons, the % amino acid sequence identity of a given amino acid sequence A to, with, or against a given amino acid sequence B (which can alternatively be phrased as a given amino acid sequence A that has or comprises a certain % amino acid sequence identity to, with, or against a given amino acid sequence B) is calculated as follows:

%amino acid sequence identity = X/Y · 100

where

X is the number of amino acid residues scored as identical matches by NCBI-BLAST2 program's alignment of A and B

and

Y is the total number of amino acid residues in B.

When the length of amino acid sequence A is not equal to the length of amino acid sequence B, the % amino acid sequence identity of A to B will not equal the % amino acid sequence identity of B to A.

The term "positives" in the context of sequence comparison includes residues in the compared sequences that are not identical but have similar properties (e.g. as a result of conservative substitutions). For purposes herein, the % value of positives is determined by dividing (a) the number of amino acid residues scoring a positive value between the BFIT polypeptide amino acid sequence of interest having a sequence derived from the native BFIT polypeptide sequence and the comparison amino acid sequence of interest (i.e., the amino acid sequence against which the BFIT polypeptide sequence is being compared) as determined in the BLOSUM62 matrix of WU-BLAST2 by (b) the total number of amino acid residues of the BFIT polypeptide of interest. The ALIGN2 and NCBI-BLAST2 programs compare sequences, they consider not only identical amino acid residues, but also

25

20

those having similar properties. Amino acid residues that score "positive" to an amino acid residue of interest are either identical to, or are a preferred substitution of, the amino acid residue of interest.

For amino acid sequence comparisons using ALIGN2 or NCBI-BLAST2, the % value of positives of a given amino acid sequence A to, with, or against a given amino acid sequence B (which can alternatively be phrased as a given amino acid sequence A that has or comprises a certain % positives to, with, or against a given amino acid sequence B) is calculated as follows:

%value of positives = X/Y · 100

10 where

5

X is the number of amino acid residues scored as positives by NCBI-BLAST2 or ALIGN2 programs' alignment of A and B

Y is the total number of amino acid residues in B.

When the length of amino acid sequence A is not equal to the length of amino acid sequence B, the % positives of A to B will not equal the % positives of B to A.

BFIT fragments may be prepared by a number of techniques, including 1) chemical synthesis, 2) enzymatic digestion of BFIT, 3) recombinant DNA methods. Chemical synthesis methods are well known in the art, as are methods that employ proteases with specific cleavage sites. Endonuclease digestion or polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to produce segments of BFIT nucleic acid and then expressing the segments in a host organism, such as £. coli is an attractive method that allows one of skill in the art to generate an unlimited supply of well-defined fragments. Preferably, BFIT polypeptide fragments share at least one biological and/or immunological activity with the native BFIT polypeptide.

In particular embodiments, conservative substitutions of interest are shown in Table E, "Preferred substitutions." If such substitutions result in a change in biological activity, then more substantial changes, denominated

25

exemplary substitutions in Table E, or as further described below in reference to amino acid classes, are introduced and the products screened.

Table E: Preferred substitutions			
Original residue	Exemplary substitutions	Preferred substitutions	
Ala (A)	Val, Leu, lle	Val	
Arg (R)	Lys, Gln, Asn	Lys	
Asn (N)	Gln, His, Lys, Arg	Gln	
Asp (D)	Glu	Glu	
Cys (C)	Ser	Ser	
Gln (Q)	Asn	Asn	
Glu (E)	Asp	Asp	
Gly (G)	Pro, Ala	Ala	
His (H)	Asn, Gln, Lys, Arg	Arg	
lle (I)	Leu, Val, Met, Ala, Phe		
	Norleucine	Leu	
Leu (L)	Norleucine, Ile, Val		
	Met, Ala, Phe	lle	
Lys (K)	Arg, Gln, Asn	Arg	
Met (M)	Leu, Phe, Ile	Leu	
Phe (F)	Leu, Val, Ile, Ala, Tyr	Leu	
BFIT (P)	Ala	Ala	
Ser (S)	Thr	Thr	
Thr (T)	Ser	Ser	
Trp (W)	Tyr, Phe	Tyr	

Substitutions that effect 1) the structure of the polypeptide backbone, such as a  $\beta$ -sheet or  $\alpha$ -helical conformation, 2) the charge or 3) hydrophobicity, or 4) the bulk of the side chain of the target site can modify BFIT polypeptide function or immunological identity. Residues are divided into groups based on common side-chain properties:

Table F: Amino acid classes	
Class	Amino acids
hydrophobic	Norleucine, Met, Ala, Val, Leu, Ile
neutral hydrophilic	Cys, Ser, Thr
acidic	Asp, Glu
basic	Asn, Gln, His, Lys, Arg
disrupt chain conformation	Gly, Pro
aromatic	Trp, Tyr, Phe

Non-conservative substitutions entail exchanging a member of one of these classes for another class. Substitutions may be introduced into conservative substitution sites or more preferably into non-conserved sites.

The variations can be made using methods known in the art such as oligonucleotide-mediated (site-directed) mutagenesis, alanine scanning, and PCR mutagenesis. Site-directed mutagenesis (Carter et al., 1986; Zoller et al., 1987), cassette mutagenesis (Wells et al., 1985), restriction selection mutagenesis (Wells et al., 1986) or other known techniques can be performed on the cloned DNA to produce the BFIT variant DNA.

An "epitope tagged" polypeptide refers to a chimeric polypeptide fused to a "tag polypeptide". The tag polypeptide provides an epitope against which an antibody can be made, but is short enough to not interfere with polypeptide

10

5

15

ñű

25

activity to which it is fused. To reduce cross-reactivity of such an antibody with other endogenous epitopes, the tag polypeptide is preferably unique. Suitable tag polypeptides generally have at least six amino acid residues and usually between about 8 and 50 amino acid residues (preferably, between about 8 and 20 amino acid residues). Examples of epitope tag sequences include FLAG and HA from *Influenza* A virus.

"Active" or "activity" refers to form(s) of a BFIT polypeptide that retain a biological and/or an immunological activity of native or naturally-occurring BFIT. Immunological activity refers to the ability to induce the production of an antibody against an antigenic epitope possessed by a native or naturally occurring BFIT whereas biological activity refers to a function, either inhibitory or stimulatory, caused by a native or naturally-occurring BFIT that excludes immunological activity. Biological activity includes, but not limited to, acyl-CoA thioesterase (hydrolase) activity.

An "isolated" polypeptide is one that has been identified and separated and/or recovered from a component of its natural environment. Contaminant components of its natural environment are materials that would typically interfere with diagnostic or therapeutic uses for the polypeptide, and may include enzymes, hormones, and other proteinaceous or non-proteinaceous solutes. In preferred embodiments, the polypeptide will be purified (1) to a degree sufficient to obtain at least 15 residues of N-terminal or internal amino acid sequence by use of a spinning cup sequenator, or (2) to homogeneity by SDS-PAGE under non-reducing or reducing conditions using Coomassie blue or, preferably, silver stain. Isolated polypeptide includes polypeptide in situ within recombinant cells, since at least one component of the BFIT polypeptide natural environment will not be present. Ordinarily, however, isolated polypeptide will be prepared by at least one purification step.

The term "antibody" is used in the broadest sense and comprises single anti-BFIT mAbs (including antagonist and neutralizing antibodies), anti-BFIT antibody compositions with poly-epitopic specificity, single chain anti-BFIT antibodies, and fragments of anti-BFIT antibodies. The term "monoclonal antibody" (mAb) is an antibody obtained from a population of

substantially homogeneous antibodies, i.e., the individual antibodies comprising the population are identical except for possible naturally-occurring mutations that may be present in minor amounts.

The present invention provides anti-BFIT antibodies. Exemplary antibodies include polyclonal, monoclonal, humanized, bi-specific, and heteroconjugate antibodies. These antibodies can be used to assay for the presences of BFIT polypeptide is a sample, for example through the use of an ELISA assay. Such an assay is useful, for example, to screen patient tissue samples and in screening assays looking for agonists or antagonist of BFIT.

Methods of preparing polyclonal antibodies are known to the skilled artisan. Polyclonal antibodies can be raised in a mammal, for example, by one or more injections of an immunogen and, if desired, an adjuvant. Typically, the immunogen and/or adjuvant are injected in the mammal by multiple subcutaneous or intraperitoneal injections. The immunogen may include the BFIT polypeptide or a fusion protein thereof. Examples of adjuvants include Freund's complete and MPL-TDM (monophosphoryl Lipid A, synthetic trehalose dicorynomycolate). It may be useful to conjugate the immunogen to a protein known to be immunogenic in the mammal being immunized. Examples of such immunogenic proteins are keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH), serum albumin, bovine thyroglobulin, and soybean trypsin inhibitor. One skilled in the art without undue experimentation may select the immunization protocol.

Alternatively, anti-BFIT antibodies may be monoclonal antibodies (mAbs). MAbs may be prepared using hybridoma methods, such as described by Kohler and Milstein (1975). A typical hybridoma method comprises at least 4 steps: 1) immunizing a host, or lymphocytes from a host, with the immunogen of interest; 2) harvesting the mAb secreting (or potentially secreting) lymphocytes, 3) fusing the lymphocytes to immortalized cells, and 4) selecting those cells that secrete the anti-BFIT mAb.

A mouse, hamster, or other appropriate host is immunized to elicit lymphocytes that produce or are capable of producing antibodies that will specifically bind to the immunogen. Alternatively, the lymphocytes may be

10

5

15

The first fi

25

20

10

The lymphocytes are then fused with an immortalized cell line to form hybridoma cells, facilitated by a fusing agent such as polyethylene glycol (Goding, 1996). Rodent, bovine, or human myeloma cells immortalized by transformation may be used, or rat or mouse myeloma cell lines. Because pure populations of hybridoma cells and not unfused immortalized cells are preferred, the cells after fusion are grown in a suitable medium that contains one or more substances that inhibit the growth or survival of unfused, immortalized cells. A common technique uses parental cells that lack the enzyme hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (HGPRT or HPRT). In this case, hypoxanthine, aminopterin and thymidine are added to the medium ("HAT medium") to prevent the growth of HGPRT-deficient cells while permitting hybridomas to grow.

THE REPORT OF THE PART HAVE BEEN AND THE PART 15

Preferred immortalized cells fuse efficiently, can be isolated from mixed populations of cells by culturing in a medium such as HAT, and support stable and high-level expression of antibody after fusion. More preferred immortalized cell lines are murine myeloma lines, available from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA). Human myeloma and mousehuman heteromyeloma cell lines also have been described for the production of human mAbs (Kozbor, 1984; Schook, 1987).

25

TH

20

Because hybridoma cells secrete antibody extracellularly, the culture media can be assayed for the presence of mAbs directed against BFIT (anti-BFIT mAbs). Immunoprecipitation or in vitro binding assays, such as radioimmunoassay (RIA) or enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA), measure the binding specificity of mAbs. Such techniques are well known in the art. For example, Scatchard analysis (Munson and Rodbard, 1980) can be used to determine the binding affinity of a mAb.

30

Anti-BFIT secreting hybridoma cells may be isolated as single clones by limiting dilution procedures and subcultured by standard methods (Goding,

GOU

30

25

supra). Suitable culture media include Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium, RPMI-1640, or if desired, a protein-reduced or free and/or serum free medium (e.g., Ultra DOMA PF or HL-1 from Biowhittaker; Walkersville, MD). Alternatively, the hybridoma cells may be grown in vivo as ascites in a mammal.

The mAbs may be isolated or purified from the culture medium or ascites fluid by conventional immunoglobulin purification procedures such as protein A-Sepharose, hydroxylapatite chromatography, gel electrophoresis, dialysis, ammonium sulfate precipitation or affinity chromatography.

The mAbs may also be made by recombinant DNA methods, such as those described in U.S. Patent No. 4.816.567. DNA encoding the mAbs of the invention can be readily isolated and sequenced using conventional procedures (e.g., by using oligonucleotide probes that are capable of binding specifically to genes encoding the heavy and light chains of murine antibodies). The hybridoma cells of the invention serve as a preferred source of such DNA. Once isolated, the DNA may be placed into expression vectors. which are then transfected into host cells such as simian COS cells. Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells, or myeloma cells that do not otherwise produce immunoglobulin protein, to obtain the synthesis of mAbs in the recombinant host cells. The DNA also may be modified, for example, by substituting the coding sequence for human heavy and light chain constant domains in place of the homologous murine sequences (U.S. Patent No. 4,816,567; Morrison et al., 1987), or by covalently joining to the immunoglobulin coding sequence all or part of the coding sequence for a non-immunoglobulin polypeptide. Such a non-immunoglobulin polypeptide can be substituted for the constant domains of an antibody of the invention, or can be substituted for the variable domains of one antigen-combining site of an antibody of the invention to create a chimeric bivalent antibody.

The antibodies may be monovalent antibodies. Methods for preparing monovalent antibodies are well known in the art. For example, one method involves recombinant expression of immunoglobulin light chain and modified heavy chain. Heavy chain truncations generally at any point in the Fc region

will prevent heavy chain crosslinking. Alternatively, the relevant cysteine residues are substituted with another amino acid residue or are deleted, preventing crosslinking. *In vitro* methods are also suitable for preparing monovalent antibodies. Antibodies can be digested to produce fragments, such as Fab fragments, using routine techniques known in the art.

Anti-BFIT antibodies may further comprise humanized antibodies or human antibodies. Humanized forms of non-human (e.g., murine) antibodies are chimeric immunoglobulins, immunoglobulin chains or fragments thereof (such as Fv. Fab, Fab', F(ab'), or other antigen-binding subsequences of antibodies) which contain minimal sequence derived from non-human immunoglobulin. Humanized antibodies include human immunoglobulins (recipient antibody) in which residues from a complementary determining region (CDR) of the recipient are replaced by residues from a CDR of a nonhuman species (donor antibody) such as mouse, rat or rabbit, having the desired specificity, affinity and capacity. In some instances, Fv framework residues of the human immunoglobulin are replaced by corresponding nonhuman residues. Humanized antibodies may also comprise residues that are found neither in the recipient antibody nor in the imported CDR or framework sequences. In general, the humanized antibody will comprise substantially all of at least one, and typically two, variable domains, in which all or substantially all of the CDR regions correspond to those of a non-human immunoglobulin and all or substantially all of the FR regions are those of a human immunoglobulin consensus sequence. The humanized antibody optimally also will comprise at least a portion of an immunoglobulin constant region (Fc), typically that of a human immunoglobulin (Jones et al., 1986; Riechmann et al., 1988; Presta, 1992).

Methods for humanizing non-human antibodies are well known in the art. Generally, a humanized antibody has one or more amino acid residues introduced into it from a non-human source. These non-human amino acid residues are often referred to as "import" residues, which are typically taken from an "import" variable domain. Humanization can be essentially performed by substituting rodent CDRs or CDR sequences for the corresponding

10

5

20

15

25

10

15

20

25

30

sequences of a human antibody (Jones et al., 1986; Riechmann et al., 1988; Verhoeyen et al., 1988). Such "humanized" antibodies are chimeric antibodies (U.S. Patent No. 4,816,567), wherein substantially less than an intact human variable domain has been substituted by the corresponding sequence from a non-human species. In practice, humanized antibodies are typically human antibodies in which some CDR residues and possibly some FR residues are substituted by residues from analogous sites in rodent antibodies.

Human antibodies can also be produced using various techniques known in the art, including phage display libraries (Hoogenboom and Winter, 1991; Marks et al., 1991). The techniques of Cole et al. (1985) and Boerner et al. (1991) also describe the preparation of human mAbs. Similarly, introducing human immunoglobulin genes into transgenic animals in which the endogenous immunoglobulin genes have been partially or completely inactivated can make human antibodies. Upon challenge, human antibody production is observed, which closely resembles that seen in humans in all respects, including gene rearrangement, assembly, and antibody repertoire. This approach is described in U.S. Patent Nos. 5,545,807; 5,545,806; 5,569,825; 5,625,126; 5,633,425; 5,661,016, and in Marks et al., (1992), Lonberg et al. (1994); Morrison (1994), Fishwild et al., (1996), Fishwild et al. (1996) and Lonberg and Huszar (1995).

Bi-specific antibodies are monoclonal, preferably human or humanized, that have binding specificities for at least two different antigens. In the present case, one of the binding specificities is for the BFIT, the other one is for any other antigen, preferably for a cell-surface protein or receptor or receptor subunit.

Methods for making bi-specific antibodies are known in the art.

Traditionally, the recombinant production of bi-specific antibodies is based on the co-expression of two immunoglobulin heavy-chain/light-chain pairs, where the two heavy chains have different specificities (Milstein and Cuello, 1983).

Because of the random assortment of immunoglobulin heavy and light chains, these hybridomas (quadromas) produce a potential mixture of ten different

antibody molecules, of which only one has the correct bispecific structure.

Affinity chromatography can purify the correct molecule. Similar procedures are disclosed in WO 93/08829 and Traunecker et al. (1991).

Antibody variable domains with the desired antibody-antigen combining sites can be fused to immunoglobulin constant domain sequences. The fusion preferably is with an immunoglobulin heavy-chain constant domain, comprising at least part of the hinge, CH2, and CH3 regions. It is preferred to have the first heavy-chain constant region (CH1) containing the site necessary for light-chain binding present in at least one of the fusions. DNAs encoding the immunoglobulin heavy-chain fusions and, if desired, the immunoglobulin light chain, are inserted into separate expression vectors, and are co-transfected into a suitable host organism. For further details of generating bi-specific antibodies see, for example, Suresh et al. (1986).

The interface between a pair of antibody molecules can be engineered to maximize the percentage of heterodimers that are recovered from recombinant cell culture (WO 96/27011). The preferred interface comprises at least part of the CH3 region of an antibody constant domain. In this method, one or more small amino acid side chains from the interface of the first antibody molecule are replaced with larger side chains (e.g. tyrosine or tryptophan). Compensatory "cavities" of identical or similar size to the large side chain(s) are created on the interface of the second antibody molecule by replacing large amino acid side chains with smaller ones (e.g. alanine or threonine). This provides a mechanism for increasing the yield of the heterodimer over other unwanted end products such as homodimers.

Bi-specific antibodies can be prepared as full length antibodies or antibody fragments (e.g. F(ab')<sub>2</sub> bi-specific antibodies). One technique to generate bi-specific antibodies exploits chemical linkage. Brennan et al. (1985) proteolytically cleave intact antibodies to generate F(ab')<sub>2</sub> fragments. These fragments are reduced in the presence of the dithiol complexing agent sodium arsenite to stabilize vicinal dithiols and prevent intermolecular disulfide formation. The generated Fab' fragments are then converted to thionitrobenzoate (TNB) derivatives. One of the Fab'-TNB derivatives is then

rocursus order

5

10

15

20

25

reconverted to the Fab'-thiol by reduction with mercaptoethylamine and is mixed with an equimolar amount of the other Fab'-TNB derivative to form the bi-specific antibody. The produced bi-specific antibodies can be used as agents for the selective immobilization of enzymes.

5

Fab' fragments may be directly recovered from *E. coli* and chemically coupled to form bi-specific antibodies. For example, Shalaby et al. (1992) described the production of a fully humanized bi-specific antibody F(ab')<sub>2</sub> molecule. Each Fab' fragment was separately secreted from *E. coli* and directly coupled chemically *in vitro*, forming the bi-specific antibody. The resulting bi-specific antibody bound cells over expressing the ErbB2 receptor and normal human T cells, and triggered the lytic activity of human cytotoxic lymphocytes.

10

15

20

Various techniques for making and isolating bi-specific antibody fragments directly from recombinant cell culture have also been described. Kostelny et al. (1992) exploited leucine zippers to produce bi-specific antibodies. Leucine zipper peptides from the Fos and Jun proteins were linked to the Fab' portions of two different antibodies by gene fusion. The antibody homodimers were reduced at the hinge region to form monomers and then re-oxidized to form the antibody heterodimers. This method can also produce antibody homodimers. The "diabody" technology described by Hollinger et al. (1993) provides an alternative method to generate bi-specific antibody fragments. The fragments comprise a heavy-chain variable domain (V<sub>H</sub>) connected to a light-chain variable domain (V<sub>L</sub>) by a linker that is too short to allow pairing between the two domains on the same chain. The V<sub>H</sub> and V<sub>L</sub> domains of one fragment are forced to pair with the complementary V<sub>L</sub> and V<sub>H</sub> domains of another fragment, thereby forming two antigen-binding sites. Another strategy for making bi-specific antibody fragments by the use of single-chain Fv (sFv) dimers has also been reported (Gruber et al., 1994). Antibodies with more than two valencies are also contemplated, such as trispecific antibodies (Tutt et al., 1991).

25

Exemplary bi-specific antibodies may bind to two different epitopes on a given BFIT polypeptide. Alternatively, cellular defense mechanisms can be

10

15

20

25

30

restricted to a particular cell expressing the particular BFIT polypeptide: an anti-BFIT polypeptide arm may be combined with an arm that binds to a leukocyte triggering molecule, such as a T-cell receptor molecule (e.g. CD2, CD3, CD28, or B7), or to Fc receptors for IgG (FcγR), such as FcγRI (CD64), FcγRII (CD32) and FcγRII (CD16). Bi-specific antibodies may also be used to target cytotoxic agents to cells that express a particular BFIT polypeptide. These antibodies possess a BFIT-binding arm and an arm which binds a cytotoxic agent or a radionuclide chelator, such as EOTUBE, DPTA, DOTA, or TETA. Another bi-specific antibody of interest binds the BFIT polypeptide and further binds tissue factor (TF).

Heteroconjugate antibodies are also within the scope of the present invention. Heteroconjugate antibodies are composed of two covalently joined antibodies. Such antibodies have, for example, been proposed to target immune system cells to unwanted cells (U.S. Patent No. 4,676,980) and for treatment of HIV infection (WO 91/00360; WO 92/200373; EP 03089). Antibodies prepared *in vitro* using known methods in synthetic protein chemistry, including those involving cross-linking agents, are contemplated. For example, immunotoxins may be constructed using a disulfide exchange reaction or by forming a thioether bond. Examples of suitable reagents for this purpose include iminothiolate and methyl-4-mercaptobutyrimidate and those disclosed, for example, in U.S. Patent No. 4,676,980.

It may be desirable to modify the antibody of the invention with respect to effector function, so as to enhance the effectiveness of the antibody in treating a disease, such as cancer. For example, cysteine residue(s) may be introduced into the Fc region, thereby allowing interchain disulfide bond formation in this region. Such homodimeric antibodies may have improved internalization capability and/or increased complement-mediated cell killing and antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) (Caron et al., 1992; Shopes, 1992). Homodimeric antibodies with enhanced anti-tumor activity may also be prepared using hetero-bifunctional cross-linkers as described in Wolff et al. (1993). Alternatively, an antibody can be engineered having dual

5

10

15

20

25

30

Fc regions and may thereby have enhanced complement lysis and ADCC capabilities (Stevenson et al., 1989).

The invention also pertains to immunoconjugates comprising an antibody conjugated to a cytotoxic agent such as a chemotherapeutic agent, toxin (e.g., an enzymatically active toxin of bacterial, fungal, plant, or animal origin, or fragments thereof), or a radioactive isotope (i.e., a radioconjugate).

Chemotherapeutic agents useful in the generation of such immunoconjugates have been described above. Useful enzymatically-active toxins and fragments include diphtheria A chain, non-binding active fragments of diphtheria toxin, exotoxin A chain from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, ricin A chain, abrin A chain, modeccin A chain, α-sarcin, *Aleurites fordii* proteins, dianthin proteins, *Phytolaca americana* proteins (PAPI, PAPII, and PAP-S), momordica charantia inhibitor, curcin, crotin, *Sapaonaria officinalis* inhibitor, gelonin, mitogellin, restrictocin, phenomycin, enomycin, and the tricothecenes. A variety of radionuclides are available for the production of radioconjugated antibodies. Examples include <sup>212</sup> Bi. <sup>131</sup>I, <sup>131</sup>In. <sup>90</sup>Y, and <sup>186</sup>Re.

Conjugates of the antibody and cytotoxic agent are made using a variety of bi-functional protein-coupling agents, such as N-succinimidyl-3-(2-pyridyldithiol) propionate (SPDP), iminothiolane (IT), bi-functional derivatives of imidoesters (such as dimethyl adipimidate HCI), active esters (such as disuccinimidyl suberate), aldehydes (such as glutareIdehyde), bis-azido compounds (such as bis (p-azidobenzoyl) hexanediamine), bis-diazonium derivatives (such as bis-(p-diazoniumbenzoyl)-ethylenediamine), diisocyanates (such as tolyene 2,6- diisocyanate), and bis-active fluorine compounds (such as 1,5-difluoro-2,4-dinitrobenzene). For example, a ricin immunotoxin can be prepared as described by Vitetta et al. (1987). <sup>14</sup>C-labeled 1-isothiocyanatobenzyl-3-methyldiethylene triaminepentaacetic acid (MX-DTPA) is an exemplary chelating agent for conjugating radionuclide to the antibody (W094/11026).

In another embodiment, the antibody may be conjugated to a "receptor" (such as streptavidin) for utilization in tumor pre-targeting wherein the antibody-receptor conjugate is administered to the patient, followed by

removal of unbound conjugate from the circulation using a clearing agent and then administration of a "ligand" (e.g., biotin) that is conjugated to a cytotoxic agent (e.g., a radionuclide).

Liposomes containing the antibody may also be formulated. Such immunoliposomes are prepared by methods known in the art, such as described by Epstein et al. (1985), Hwang et al. (1980), U.S. Patent Nos. 4,485,045 and 4,544,545. Liposomes with enhanced circulation time are disclosed in U.S. Patent No. 5.013.556.

Particularly useful liposomes can be generated by the reverse-phase evaporation method with a lipid composition comprising phosphatidylcholine, cholesterol, and PEG-derivatized phosphatidylethanolamine (PEG-PE). Liposomes are extruded through filters of defined pore size to yield liposomes with the desired diameter. Fab' fragments of the antibody of the present invention can be conjugated to the liposomes as described in Martin et al. (1982) via a disulfide-interchange reaction. A chemotherapeutic agent (such as Doxorubicin) is optionally contained within the liposome (Gabizon et al., 1989). Other useful liposomes with different compositions may also be useful.

Gene expression may be measured at the mRNA level or at the protein level. To measure mRNA levels, conventional Northern blotting (Thomas, 1980), dot blotting, nuclear run-off assays or *in situ* hybridization may be used. These assays employ an appropriately labeled probe based on the sequences provided herein. Alternatively, antibodies may be employed that can recognize specific duplexes, including DNA duplexes, RNA duplexes, DNA-RNA hybrid duplexes or DNA-protein duplexes. The antibodies in turn may be labeled, and the assay may be carried out where the duplex is bound to a surface, so that upon the formation of duplex on the surface, the presence of antibody bound to the duplex can be detected.

Gene expression can be detected and measured at the protein level. Cells, tissue sections, cultured cells and/or culture media, or body fluids, can be used to quantify directly the expression of a gene product. Examples of useful techniques include immunohistochemical staining, immunoprecipitation and Western blotting; these exploit the antibodies of the invention.

25

5

10

15

20

10

15

20

25

30

"Antagonist" is used in the broadest sense and includes any molecule that partially or fully blocks, inhibits, or neutralizes a biological activity of a native BFIT polypeptide. Similarly, "agonist" is used in the broadest sense and includes any molecule that mimics a biological activity of a native BFIT polypeptide. Molecules that can act as agonists or antagonists include antibodies or antibody fragments, fragments or variants of native BFIT polypeptides, peptides, antisense oligonucleotides, small organic molecules, etc.

Expression cloning relies on the ability to detect bound ligand, such as BFIT polypeptide. A direct measure employs physical methods to detect bound BFIT. BFIT is labeled with a molecular and detectable "tag", such as a radionuclide or an enzyme that reacts with a substrate to produce a chemiluminescent or colored, insoluble product. Labeled BFIT is applied to the cells, the cells are processed for the detection method, and the label detected. Signal significantly greater than controls indicates specifically bound BFIT polypeptide.

A physical approach for identification of proteins which interact with BFIT relies on the ability to cross-link labeled BFIT polypeptide to an interacting protein(s). Cell membrane or extract preparations that express the interacting protein are exposed to labeled BFIT polypeptide, proteins are cross-linked to neighboring proteins by reagents well-known in the art, such as N-hydroxysuccinimide esters-maleimide crosslinkers (e.g., mmaleimidobenzoyl-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester or succinimidyl 4-(pmaleimidophenyl)butyrate) or imidoesters crosslinkers (e.g. dimethyl adipimidate 2 HCl or dimethyl suberimidate 2 HCl). Cross-linked proteins are extracted, resolved (such as by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) and the BFIT-labeled complex detected appropriately for the label used. The labeled complex is then excised, resolved into peptide fragments, and subjected to protein micro-sequencing. The resulting amino acid sequence can be used to design degenerate oligonucleotide probes to screen a cDNA library, identifying the gene encoding the putative interacting protein.

10

15

20

Preferably, expression cloning is employed. Polyadenylated RNA is prepared from a cell responsive to the BFIT polypeptide and a cDNA library prepared. The cDNAs are divided into pools and transfected into COS fibroblasts or other cells that are usually unresponsive to the BFIT polypeptide. Those cDNA pools that render cells responsive to BFIT polypeptide indicate the presence of a cDNA encoding a BFIT interacting protein or other BFIT-sensitive molecule. Positive pools are subdivided into smaller pools, and the process is repeated until the sequence(s) that renders the cells responsive to BFIT polypeptides is isolated as a single clone encoding a putative BFIT interacting protein.

To assay for antagonists or agonists, the BFIT polypeptide may be added to a cell along with the compound to be screened for a particular activity. If the compound inhibits the activity of interest in the presence of the BFIT polypeptide, that compound is an antagonist to the BFIT polypeptide. If the compound increases the activity of interest in the presence of the BFIT polypeptide, that compound is an agonist to the BFIT polypeptide.

Any class of molecule that inhibits BFIT polypeptide cellular effects is a candidate antagonist. Likewise any class of molecule that enhances BFIT polypeptide cellular effects is a candidate agonist. Screening techniques well known to those skilled in the art can identify these molecules. Examples of antagonists and agonists include: 1) small organic and inorganic compounds, 2) small peptides, 3) antibodies and derivatives, 4) polypeptides closely related to BFIT, 5) antisense DNA and RNA, 6) ribozymes, and 7) triple DNA helices

Agonists and antagonists may be small molecules that bind to the BFIT polypeptide active site, or other relevant part of the polypeptide or any corresponding interacting protein and inhibit the biological activity of the BFIT polypeptide are antagonists. Examples of small molecules include small peptides, peptide-like molecules, preferably soluble, and synthetic non-peptidyl organic or inorganic compounds.

Any antibody that affects BFIT polypeptide's effects on cells is a candidate antagonist or agonist. Examples of antibody antagonists or

25

10

15

20

25

30

agonists include polyclonal, monoclonal, single-chain, anti-idiotypic, chimeric antibodies, or humanized versions of such antibodies or fragments.

Antibodies may be from any species in which an immune response can be raised. Humanized antibodies are also contemplated.

Alternatively, a potential antagonist or agonist may be a closely related protein, for example, a mutated form of the BFIT polypeptide that recognizes the substrate but has no activity, thereby competitively inhibiting BFIT polypeptide action.

Antisense RNA or DNA constructs can be effective antagonists. Antisense RNA or DNA molecules block function by inhibiting translation by hybridizing to targeted mRNA. Antisense technology can be used to control gene expression through triple-helix formation or antisense DNA or RNA, both of which are based on polynucleotide binding to DNA or RNA. For example, the 5' coding portion of the BFIT polynucleotide sequence is used to design an antisense RNA oligonucleotide of from about 10 to 40 base pairs in length. A DNA oligonucleotide is designed to be complementary to a region of the gene involved in transcription [triple helix - see Lee et al. (1979); Cooney et al., (1988); (Dervan, 1991)], thereby preventing transcription and the production of the BFIT polypeptide. The antisense RNA oligonucleotide hybridizes to the mRNA in vivo and blocks translation of the mRNA molecule into the BFIT polypeptide (Okano (1991); Oligodeoxynucleotides as Antisense Inhibitors of Gene Expression (CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1988)). The above described oligonucleotides can also be delivered to cells such that the antisense RNA or DNA may be expressed in vivo to inhibit production of the BFIT polypeptide. When antisense DNA is used, oligodeoxyribonucleotides derived from the translation-initiation site, e.g., between about -10 and +10 positions of the target gene nucleotide sequence, are preferred.

Antisense or sense oligonucleotides bind to target nucleic acid sequences, forming duplexes that block transcription or translation of the target sequence. These duplexes inhibition BFIT protein expression by enhanced degradation of the duplexes, premature termination of transcription or translation, or by other means.

THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE 20

25

30

Antisense or sense oligonucleotides are single-stranded nucleic acids. either RNA or DNA, which can bind target BFIT mRNA (sense) or BFIT DNA (antisense) sequences. According to the present invention, antisense or sense oligonucleotides comprise a fragment of the BFIT DNA coding region of at least about 14 nucleotides, preferably from about 14 to 30 nucleotides. In general, antisense RNA or DNA molecules can comprise at least 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, 75, 80, 85, 90, 95, 100 bases in length or more. Among others, Stein and Cohen (1988) and van der Krol et al. (1988) describe methods to derive antisense or a sense oligonucleotides from a given cDNA sequence.

Modifications of antisense and sense oligonucleotides can augment their effectiveness. Modified sugar-phosphodiester bonds or other sugar linkages, such as described in WO 91/06629, increase in vivo stability by conferring resistance to endogenous nucleases without disrupting binding specificity to target sequences. Other modifications include covalently linked organic moieties, such as those described in WO 90/10048, and other moieties, such as poly-(L)-lysine, that increase antisense and sense oligonucleotide affinities for a target nucleic acid sequence. Other attachments to sense and antisense oligonucleotides include metal complexes or intercalating (e.g. ellipticine) and alkylating agents. These attachments can modify binding specificities of the antisense or sense oligonucleotide for the target nucleotide sequence.

To introduce antisense or sense oligonucleotides into target cells (cells containing the target nucleic acid sequence), any gene transfer method may be used and are well known to those of skill in the art. Examples of gene transfer methods include 1) biological, such as gene transfer vectors like Epstein-Barr virus or conjugating the exogenous DNA to a ligand-binding molecule (liposomes), 2) physical, such as electroporation, and 3) chemical, such as CaPO<sub>4</sub> precipitation and oligonucleotide-lipid complexes.

In a preferred procedure, an antisense or sense oligonucleotide is inserted into a suitable gene transfer retroviral vector. A cell containing the target nucleic acid sequence is contacted with the recombinant retroviral

A procedure that specifies target cells in a mixed population of cells exploits cell surface receptors that are specific to the target cells. Antisense and sense oligonucleotides are conjugated to a ligand-binding molecule, as described in WO 91/04753. Ligands are chosen for receptors that are specific to the target cells. Examples of suitable ligand-binding molecules include cell surface receptors, growth factors, cytokines, or other ligands that bind to cell surface receptors or molecules. Preferably, conjugation of the ligand-binding molecule does not substantially interfere with the ability of the receptors or molecule to bind the ligand-binding molecule conjugate, or block entry of the sense or antisense oligonucleotide or its conjugated version into the cell.

10

Liposomes efficiently transfer sense or an antisense oligonucleotide to cells, such as described in WO 90/10448. The sense or antisense oligonucleotide-lipid complex is preferably dissociated within the cell by an endogenous lipase.

OSSSSY OFSSS 15

Ribozymes are enzymatic RNA molecules capable of catalyzing the specific cleavage of RNA. Ribozymes act by sequence-specific hybridization to the complementary target RNA, followed by endonucleolytic cleavage. Specific ribozyme cleavage sites within a potential RNA target can be identified by known techniques (Rossi, 1994; WO 97/33551).

25

20

To inhibit transcription, triple-helix nucleic acids that are singlestranded and comprise deoxynucleotides are useful antagonists. These oligonucleotides are designed such that triple-helix formation via Hoogsteen base-pairing rules is promoted, generally requiring stretches of purines or pyrimidines (WO 97/33551).

30

"Carriers" as used herein include pharmaceutically acceptable carriers. excipients, or stabilizers which are nontoxic to the cell or mammal being exposed thereto at the dosages and concentrations employed. Often the physiologically acceptable carrier is an aqueous pH buffered solution.

10

15

20

Examples of physiologically acceptable carriers include buffers such as phosphate, citrate, and other organic acids; antioxidants including ascorbic acid; low molecular weight (less than about 10 residues) polypeptide; proteins, such as serum albumin, gelatin, or immunoglobulins; hydrophilic polymers such as polyvinylpyrrolidone; amino acids such as glycine, glutamine, asparagine, arginine or lysine; monosaccharides, disaccharides, and other carbohydrates including glucose, mannose, or dextrins; chelating agents such as EDTA; sugar alcohols such as mannitol or sorbitol; salt-forming counterions such as sodium; and/or nonionic surfactants such as TWEEN™, polyethylene glycol (PEG), and PLURONICS.

In a gene therapy approach, introduced genes are expressed *in vivo* to achieve a therapeutic affect. For example, an introduced gene may encode a functional protein to replace a defective protein encoded from a mutated gene. Nucleic acids encoding the BFIT polypeptides may be useful in gene therapy.

Gene therapies may be transient and permanent. To achieve a permanent effect, the design of the therapy recurrently administers the introduced therapeutic nucleic acid. Individuals who suffer from a disease or condition caused by a mutant gene can be effectively treated in this way. To achieve a transient effect, the design of the therapy administers the therapeutic nucleic acid once or several times, but the nucleic acid does not persist in the individual over time. This approach is effective in treating conditions that are not chronic, such as an infection, or can be used to ameliorate a condition, such as inducing repair in damaged tissues.

Antisense RNAs and DNAs can be used as therapeutic agents to block the expression of certain genes *in vivo*. While cells inefficiently import short antisense oligonucleotides resulting in low intracellular concentrations, gene expression can still be inhibited (Zamecnik et al., 1986). Substituting negatively charged phosphodiester groups by uncharged groups on oligonucleotides may increase the efficiency of cell uptake of therapeutic nucleic acids.

30

30

5

10

Many techniques are available for introducing nucleic acids into viable cells, the choice depending on whether the nucleic acid is transferred to cells in vitro or in vivo. Suitable in vitro techniques include methods that use liposomes, electroporation, microinjection, cell fusion, DEAE-dextran, calcium phosphate precipitation, etc. Preferred in vivo gene transfer techniques include transfection with viral (typically retroviral) vectors and viral coat protein-liposome mediated transfection (Dzau et al., 1993). Other vectors that are currently useful are summarized by Romano et al. (2000). In some situations, only certain cells will be desirable targets of gene therapies. Nucleic acids can be coupled with an agent that targets the desired cells, such as an antibody specific for a cell surface membrane protein on the target cell, or a ligand for a receptor on the target cell, etc. For example, molecules that bind specifically to the target cell could be incorporated into the outer layer of a liposome that contains the nucleic acid. An example of proteins that would confer such targeting include those that would bind a cell surface receptor that is regularly endocytosed (to facilitate uptake; Wu et al., 1987; Wagner et al., 1990), antibodies for proteins that are internalized, and proteins that target intracellular localization and enhance intracellular half-life. The technique of receptor-mediated endocytosis is described, for example, by. For review of gene marking and gene therapy protocols see Anderson et al. (1992).

## **FXAMPLES**

Animals & Tissue Collection. All experiments were done in accordance with the guidelines set forth by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Genentech. Differential gene expression experiments were carried out using newly-weaned male FVB-N mice (TACONIC, Germantown, N.Y.), received at 3 wk of age and housed at 2 mice/cage until tissue harvest at 6 wk of age. Mice were fed standard rodent chow ad libitum (Chow 5010, RALSTON PURINA, St. Louis, MO), and housed on a 12:12 light/dark cycle (lights on 06:00). Control and Cold-Challenged mice were housed at an ambient temperature (T<sub>8</sub>) of 22°C during this period, whereas Warrn-Acclimated mice were housed at 33°C, within their thermoneutral zone (TNZ).

25

30

5

10

Cold-Challenged mice were transferred to a 4°C room for 48 hr prior to tissue harvest. Following CO2-induced euthanasia in the afternoon, interscapular BAT was excised, cleaned of visible blood vessels, white adipose tissue (WAT), and connective tissue, and snap-frozen for subsequent RNA preparation. For differential expression, 3 independent BAT samples/treatment were generated for analysis; each sample was composed of BAT pooled from 10 mice. Follow-up studies examining the timecourse of cold-inducibility of BAT genes were carried out on Cold-Challenged mice exposed to 4°C (1, 6, and 24 hr), whereas studies examining the tissue distribution and cold-inducibility across tissues employed pooled samples (at least 3/tissue) from additional mice.

Some experiments employed a high-fat diet regimen to compare BAT gene expression differences in model systems of obesity-prone versus obesity-resistant mice. The diet, containing 58% of calories as fat, was patterned after that formulated by R.D. Suwit (Surwit et al., 1995). Male mice received at 4wk of age were immediately placed on the diet, with interscapular BAT harvest occurring at 7 wk of age. The first study compared expression in AKR/J vs. SWR/J mice, whereas the second study compared expression in C57BL/6J vs. A/J mice (JACKSON LABS, Bar Harbor, ME). Additional studies compared expression in 9 wk old male ob/ob mice vs. lean Ob/? controls, fed standard rodent chow ad libitum.

Example 1: Differential Gene Expression Analysis

Interscapular BAT mRNA samples were prepared, reverse-transcribed, and subjected to CURAGEN Quantitative Expression Analysis (QEA). The details of QEA analysis are described elsewhere (Shimkets et al., 1999). Analysis focused on identification of genes regulated at least 2-fold by changes in Ta. Follow-up analyses, using quantitative real-time RT-PCR (TaqMan) under conditions described previously (Yu et al., 2000), employed specific primers and FAM/TAMRA-labeled probes recognizing mBFIT or hBFIT as follows (all are 5'∏3'): Mouse BFIT: fwdTGAAGGATACCGGAACCCC (SEQ ID NO 7):

<u>probe</u>CGGAGGTGCAGATGAGCCAGCTG (SEQ ID NO 8); <u>rev</u>TACTGCCCTGCCACACCAA (SEQ ID NO 9).

Human BFIT1: fwdTGCTGGGTTAGGGTCTCCCT (SEQ ID NO 10); probeACTGAGCTGGTCTCGGCAAGTGGC (SEQ ID NO 11); reyTCTATTCCTGGGGGCTCGA (SEQ ID NO 12).

Human BFIT2: fwdTCTCTTGGACAACCGGAATGA (SEQ ID NO 13); probeTGGCCCCAGCCTCCAGACC (SEQ ID NO 14); revTCTAGATGCCCTCAGTGGCC (SEQ ID NO 15).

All quantitative RT-PCR data were normalized using 18S RNA abundance to account for loading differences, using commercially-available primer/probe sets (PE APPLIED BIOSYSTEMS, Foster City, CA). Human tissue mRNAs analyzed by real-time quantitative RT-PCR were derived from the following sources: a human tissue mRNA panel (CLONTECH, Palo Alto, CA), white adipose tissue (ZENBIO, Research Triangle Park, NC), or a human hibernoma sample derived from a 52 yr old female and procured from the University of Michigan.

Example 2: Radiation Hybrid Analysis.

The human BFIT gene localization was carried out using the BFIT-specific oligo sets described above. Real-time RT-PCR was performed on the Stanford G3 Radiation Hybrid Panel of 83 human/hamster somatic clones. Positive clones were identified as having a signal above background and with a cycle threshold below 33 on the PCR amplification plot. The data were submitted to the Stanford server (http://www-

submitted to the Stanford server (nttp://www-shgc.stanford.edu/RH/G3index.html), and results were returned with linkage on Chromosome 1 with a LOD score of 15.7. Cytogenetic localization was obtained through the Genome Database (http://www.gdb.org). The mouse BFIT chromosomal locus was identified through radiation hybrid analysis of 93 hybrids of the Mouse/Hamster T31 Radiation Hybrid Panel (Research Genetics, Huntsville, AL), employing PCR primers designed from the g1i0-182 fragment sequence (see Results) as follows (5'[]3'):

fwdGTAAGAAGGGAGCCTGGGAG (SEQ ID NO 16) and revTCTAGACCACCCTTTCTCCG (SEQ ID NO 17). A collective set of scores

10

5

] ] [] 15

25

20

10

15

20

(0=no amplification; 1=amplification; 2=uncertain) were used to assess the radiation hybrid vectors, and analyses were performed in duplicate. Chromosomal placement of the mBFIT gene was accomplished using the Whitehead Institute/Massachusetts Institute of Technology Center for Genome Research website (http://www.genome.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/mouse-rh/rhmap-auto/rhmapper.cgi). The LOD score for linkage was 15.

Statistics. Comparisons between any two treatments were made using a Student's t-test, whereas statistical differences across temperature treatments were assessed using a Newman-Keuls treatment with p<0.05 considered statistically significant. All values presented are means ± SEM of between 3-5 samples per treatment unless otherwise noted.

Example 3: Identification of BAT

A 182 bp cDNA fragment (g1i0-182) corresponding to an mRNA upregulated in the BAT of Cold-Challenged mice was identified through Quantitative Expression Analysis, shown in Figure 1. In this figure, BAT mRNA samples derived from 6 wk old male Cold-Challenged mice (4°C, 48 hr), controls (22°C), or Warm-Acclimated mice (33°C, 3 wk) were subjected to a QEA analysis designed to uncover temperature-sensitive genes. Peak height corresponds to the abundance of a ~182 bp cDNA fragment, which in turn represents the abundance of a specific mRNA species in the original sample. The abundance of the fragment (later determined to represent murine BFIT) is highest in the BAT from cold mice (A), lower in controls (B), and negligible in warm mice (C). The bp values on the X axis are derived from gel location.

Sequencing of this short fragment suggested that it represented a portion of the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of a novel gene, as it lacked homology with genes or proteins in the public database, and did not display an obvious open reading frame (ORF). The fragment sequence was utilized in strategies to obtain extended sequences from clones derived from an FVB/N murine BAT cDNA library. A number of clones contained a 594 amino acid ORF with high homology (81% identity, 83% similarity) to a hypothetical human acyl-CoA thioesterase KIAA0707 (GenBank Accession No.

25

AB014607), predicted to encode a protein with a molecular weight of 68 kDa (Figure 2). None of the isolated clones contained an in-frame Stop codon upstream of the first Met, but contig analysis identified matching overlapping murine ESTs (W64130 & AA051663) which extended the sequence further 5' to an in-frame Stop. Furthermore, the human genomic region containing the entire ORF of KIAA0707 (see below) also contains an in-frame Stop prior to the Met.

Interestingly, there appeared to be significant sequence divergence in the carboxy-terminus of the murine protein compared to KIAA0707, beginning at residue 544 of the human protein (Figure 2). This result raised the possibilities that alternative splice variants exist for the human gene, or that a separate gene may encode a protein orthologous to the version found in mouse BAT. The divergent mouse carboxy terminal sequence was therefore used to search the public database for orthologous human ESTs, two of which were identified and found to include a Stop codon toward their 3' end (ESTs AA587744 & AI732328). Employing a reverse primer specific to this region (5'CCAGACCCTCTAGATGCCCTCA3'; SEQ ID NO 18) and a forward primer designed from the AB014607 sequence (5'ATGATCCAGAATGTCGGAAATCAC3'; SEQ ID NO 19), a 1794 bp cDNA fragment containing the entire ORF of this variant was generated by PCR using human hibernoma (a benign tumor with characteristics resembling BAT) cDNA as a template, and subcloned into the pcDNA3.1/V5-His TOPO TA vector (INVITROGEN, Carlsbad, CA). A 1878 bp cDNA encoding the entire ORF of KIAA0707 was also PCR-generated and cloned from hibernoma cDNA, using the AB014607 forward primer and the reverse primer (5'AGACACCTGAAACCTTATCATGAGCC3'; SEQ ID NO 20). The aminoterminal sequences of the proteins encoded by these cDNAs matched that of KIAA0707, but the sequences diverged at the carboxy-terminus, analogous with the mouse sequence compared to KIAA0707 (Figure 2). Both forms of the protein possess two acyl-CoA thioesterase domains and a START lipidbinding domain, indicative of involvement with lipid metabolism. Thus, based

on domain structure and the expression pattern in the mouse, KIAA0707

10

5

15

20

25

10

15

20

25

30

protein was renamed "hBFIT1," with the novel orthologous variants termed "hBFIT2" and "mBFIT2" in humans and mice, respectively. hBFIT1 was found to share the highest homology (30-35% identity; 50-55% similarity) with a variety of acyl-CoA thioesterases identified in *Chlamydophila* and *Bacillus* (i.e., AP002547\_137 & AP001509\_236, respectively), but also appeared related to the human or rat thioesterase CTE-2 (CTE2\_HUMAN & CTE2\_RAT, respectively) at 22% identity and 41% similarity.

Figure 2 shows alignment of BFIT sequences from human (hBFIT) and mouse (mBFIT), and indicates the strong conservation of BFIT across species, and the presence of alternative splicing events. The divergence of hBFIT1 and hBFIT2 sequences at the carboxy terminus is due to alternative splicing of the human BFIT gene. Bars denote G-X-H motifs conserved in some thioesterase proteins. The following Pfam domains were identified: START lipid-binding domain (residues 384-590 & 384-571 in hBFIT1 and hBFIT2, respectively); two acyl-CoA thioesterase domains (residues 29-166 and residues 199-341 in both hBFIT variants).

Figure 3 shows that BFIT is strongly and rapidly induced in the BAT of mice exposed to cold, and repressed by warm temperature. In Figure 3A, BFIT mRNA level in BAT was induced by 24 hr of cold exposure (4°C) in adult male mice, and remained elevated through at least 48 hr in the cold (\*p<0.05 compared to control). In contrast, acclimation to a thermoneutral environment (33°C, 3 wk) depressed expression by ~70% (\*\*p<0.01 vs. control, p<0.05 vs. others). Figure 3B indicates BFIT mRNA is most abundant in mouse BAT, and its cold-inducibility is strikingly apparent in this tissue. The figure depicts expression in pooled mRNAs from each tissue noted, and represent different samples than those in (A). Expression levels after cold-exposure, control conditions, or upon warm acclimation are indicated by three bars (left-to-right) for white adipose tissue (WAT), whole brain, liver, and hindlimb skeletal muscle (SKM); kidney, heart, and testis values were derived from control mice.

Example 4: BFIT Association with Chromosomal Markers Associated with Body Fat

10

15

20

25

30

Radiation hybrid analyses were carried out to identify the chromosomal localizations of hBFIT and mBFIT. Interestingly, murine BFIT was colocalized with the markers D4Mit168 and D4Mit43 on Chromosome 4, which rest in the region defined by the quantitative trait locus (QTL) Dietary-obese 1 (Do1) as described (West et al., 1994). This QTL was discovered in a genetic analysis of obesity-prone AKR/J mice versus obesity-resistant SWR/J mice. Do1 was hypothesized to contain a gene which plays a role in driving the large differences in body fat content between the strains, observed after feeding a high-fat diet (West et al., 1994). Human BFIT was localized to a syntenic region of Chromosome 1 (1p32.3), near the Stanford Human Genome Center marker SHGC-58180 (between D1S509 & D1S3255). This marker is in proximity to a potential human Do1 region linked to body fatness in the Québec Family Study cohort (includes the markers D1S193, D1S200, & D1S476) (Chagnon et al., 1997).

The chromosomal position of BFIT, its involvement with lipid metabolism, and its high abundance and induction in thermogenic BAT pointed to BFIT as a candidate gene defining the Do1 locus. To test this postulate, a comparison was made of the full-length ORF of mBFIT2 in AKR/J and SWR/J mice, using BAT cDNAs from each strain as templates in multiple, independent PCR reactions. The fragments obtained were subcloned into pcDNA3.1/V5-His-TOPO and sequenced. The cDNA and protein sequences corresponding to the mBFIT2 ORF did not differ between strains, and matched that obtained previously from FVB/N mice (Figure 2). Because a putative strain-related difference in the BFIT gene sequence could occur outside the coding region and hence lead to expression differences among strains, we explored whether BAT expression of BFIT was altered in AKR/J versus SWR/J mice fed a high-fat diet for 3 wk. This treatment led to a substantial difference in body fat accretion between strains, as judged by the 4-fold higher epididymal fat pad weight (as a % of body wt) in AKR/J mice (4.0  $\pm$  0.29%) compared to SWR/J mice (1.0  $\pm$  0.11%)(p<0.01)(also see (West et al., 1994). The abundance of BFIT was significantly (p<0.001) elevated ~1.6fold in the BAT of SWR/J mice compared to obesity-prone AKR/J mice (Figure

10

15

20

4A). Similar patterns emerged from additional lean/obese comparisons of BFIT expression in different established models. For instance, a comparison between obesity-resistant A/J versus obesity-prone C57BL/6J mice (Surwit et al., 1995) fed a high-fat diet 3 wk revealed a 2-fold higher expression of BFIT in the A/J mice (Figure 4B) (p<0.001). Furthermore, BFIT expression in the BAT of non-obese Ob/? mice was 2.5-fold elevated compared to obese ob/ob mice (Figure 4C) (p<0.001).

Example 5: Organization of the Human BFIT Gene, & Evidence for Tissue-Specific Regulation of hBFIT Splicing Events

The presence of two hBFIT cDNA variants and a single chromosomal location for BFIT suggested the presence of alternative splicing events in the hBFIT gene. A ~155 kb assembly encompassing the hBFIT genomic region was made in silico, using information contained in two human unordered, non-directional Phase I genomic clones from the public database (AC025990 & AC011736) which contained the hBFIT1/hBFIT2 ORFs. hBFIT variants arise from alternative splicing events, as depicted schematically in Figure 5: hBFIT1 is predicted to arise from 17 exons, compared to the 16 exons giving rise to BFIT2. This figure illustrates exons (upward ticks) encoding hBFIT1 and hBFIT2 splice variants, plus intervening introns represented by the horizontal line. Both variants share the initial 15 exons of the gene, but hBFIT2 is generated from an alternate exon (see bottom arrow) not utilized in hBFIT1 transcription. In contrast, hBFIT1 is generated from the use of two downstream exons; (see top arrow) not used to generate the hBFIT2 sequence.

In humans, an interesting tissue-specificity of hBFIT splicing patterns emerged from quantitative real-time RT-PCR assays employing variant-specific primers and probes. Figure 6 shows the broad expression of BFIT in humans, and tissue-specific regulation of gene-splicing events. Shown in Figure 6A, BFIT1 mRNA, expressed as a percentage of that determined in hibernoma (a benign tumor with characteristics of BAT), was especially abundant in heart, but was also apparent in numerous other tissues (bars are identified on the bottom panel). Shown in Figure 6B, BFIT2 mRNA distribution

25

was more confined versus BFIT1, but nevertheless expressed in numerous tissues. Shown in Figure 6C, the ratio of BFIT splice variants was quite variable, with tissue-specific patterns indicative of differential regulation of transcription. Values represent the percentage of total BFIT mRNA (BFIT1 plus BFIT2 mRNAs) attributable to either variant in each tissue examined (e.g. hibernoma predominantly expressed BFIT2, represented by the crosshatched bar).

Regulation of this gene was further analyzed in a follow-up experiment designed to dissect whether repression of the BFIT gene in Warm-Acclimated mice (Figure 3A) was attributed to specific temperature signals or due to diminished food intake (warm mice ate ~50% less versus controls). Expression was checked in a group of mice kept 3 wk at 22°C, but meal-fed the same amount of chow as Warm-Acclimated mice. Surprisingly, BFIT expression in food-restricted mice was induced to 204±47% of control values (n=3, p<0.05; range 186-455%), similar in magnitude to observations in Cold-Challenged mice (Figure 3A). These results indicate that the warmth-related repression of the gene was due to physiological signals specific to ambient temperature. Furthermore, the data suggest that factors common to both long-term food restriction and acute cold-exposure trigger the gene, or that separate condition-specific cohorts of regulators impact the gene in the same direction. Lipolysis is increased in the BAT of cold-exposed mice, and perhaps increased non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) availability played a part in BFIT induction under cold and food-restricted conditions.

Example 6: Isolation of cDNA Clones Encoding Mouse BFIT Gene
The Mouse BFIT is a novel gene encoding a 1782 bp (594 amino acid
(~67 kDa)) open reading frame (ORF). The total length of the isolated mouse
BFIT gene was 2699 kb; the vector pRK5D used was 5.1 kb, thus adding to a
total length of 7.8 kb of the DNA molecule amplified by inverse polymerase
chain reaction (IPCR) and isolated.

Mouse BFIT gene was cloned as follows. Two adjacent 5' phosphorylated primers, e6.bat.snr1 and e6.bat.snf1, were designed on opposite strands with melting temperatures of 70°C and 69°C respectively.

25

5

10

15

20

10

15

20

These primers were used in an inverse PCR reaction. The PCR primer sequences (5' to 3') were as follows:

e6.bat.snr1 >pGCCACTGAGTCAGAGTCCAGCCAAC (SEQ ID NO 21) and e6.bat.snf1 >pCCAGCCTGCAGCTGGAAGGAC (SEQ ID NO 22).

In a 50µl reaction, the following reagents were added: 50ng of a mouse brown adipose tissue cDNA library in the vector pRK5D, which was propagated in a methylation positive bacteria; 50 picomoles of each PCR primer; 10nmoles of each deoxynucleotide triphosphate, 5µl of Pfu 10x buffer (Stratagene, La Jolla CA), and 1µl of Pfu Turbo (Stratagene, La Jolla CA). The plasmid vector pRK5 (4,661 bp) has been described (EP 307,247 published 15 March 1989); pRK5D (5117 bp) is a derivative of pRK5.

PCR conditions were one cycle at 94°C for 3 minutes, then 20 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 65°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 13 minutes. The PCR reaction generated a linear 5' phosphorylated amplicon that contained the Toll 6 cDNA insert plus the pRK5D vector.

Next, 10µl of the completed PCR reaction was ligated in a 100µl reaction containing the following other reagents: 10µl 10x T4 DNA ligase buffer (New England BioLabs, Beverly, MA), 4µl T4 DNA ligase (New England BioLabs, Beverly, MA), and 76µl H2O. The ligation was incubated at ambient temperature for 1 hour.

After 1 hour of ligation, 2µl of the restriction enzyme DpnI (New England BioLabs, Beverly, MA) was added to the ligation reaction and the digestion was allowed to continue for 1 hour at 37°C. DpnI will specifically digest methylated but not unmethylated DNA; therefore, the original mouse brown adipose tissue cDNA library which was used as a template will be digested, leaving only the mouse BFIT/vector amplicon intact. After the completion of the digestion the sample was cleaned using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), eluted in 30µl of elution buffer or H2O, and then ethanol precipitated. The pellet was resuspended in 2µl of H2O and the entire sample was then used to transform bacteria. DH10B ElectroMAX competent bacteria (Life Technologies, Rockville, MD) by electroporation.

30

The transformed bacteria were plated on Luria broth agar plates and colonies were grown overnight at 37°C.

The next day, the colonies were lifted onto a nylon membrane, denatured, renatured and probed with a 32P-ATP kinase-labeled, mouse BFIT specific probe. The sequence of the mouse BFIT specific probe was e6.bat.p1

>AAATCCACATGGCTGTTCCCAGCAGTGCTGTGGTACACTGTGACAGT (SEQ ID NO 23). Positive colonies were sequenced to confirm that point mutations were not introduced by the PCR reaction.

Example 7: Thioesterase Assay

Human BFIT proteins were produced in *E. coli* transformed with appropriate vectors containing the BFIT protein-encoding nucleotide sequences. Figure 7A depicts thioesterase activity of partially-purified recombinant hBFIT1 and Figure 7B depicts partially-purified recombinant hBFIT2. Thioesterase activity is defined as the amount of coenzyme-A (CoASH) released from various acyl-CoA thioester substrates per minute per mg of protein. Both enzymes displayed the highest activity against C16-CoA, an acyl-CoA with 16 carbons on the fatty acid backbone, with progressively diminished activities against C12-CoA and C4-CoA. Measurement of release of coenzyme A into the medium was measured spectrophotometrically (Lee, K.Y. and H. Schulz 1979).

## Deposit of Material

The following materials have been deposited with the American Type Culture Collection, 10801 University Blvd., Manassas, VA 20110-2209, USA (ATCC):

Material	ATCC Dep. No.	Deposit Date
pR1853.hBFIT1		January 17,2001
pR1853.hBFIT2		January 17,2001

This deposit was made under the provisions of the Budapest Treaty on the International Recognition of the Deposit of Microorganisms for the Purpose of Patent Procedure and the Regulations thereunder (Budapest

10

5

15

20

25

10

15

20

25

30

Treaty). This assures maintenance of a viable culture of the deposit for 30 years from the date of deposit. The deposit will be made available by ATCC under the terms of the Budapest Treaty, and subject to an agreement between Genentech, Inc. and ATCC, which assures permanent and unrestricted availability of the progeny of the culture of the deposit to the public upon issuance of the pertinent U.S. patent or upon laying open to the public of any U.S. or foreign patent application, whichever comes first, and assures availability of the progeny to one determined by the U.S. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to be entitled thereto according to 35 USC §122 and the Commissioner's rules pursuant thereto (including 37 CFR §1.14 with particular reference to 886 OG 638).

The assignee of the present application has agreed that if a culture of the materials on deposit should die or be lost or destroyed when cultivated under suitable conditions, the materials will be promptly replaced on notification with another of the same. Availability of the deposited material is not to be construed as a license to practice the invention in contravention of the rights granted under the authority of any government in accordance with its patent laws.

The foregoing written specification is considered to be sufficient to enable one skilled in the art to practice the invention. The present invention is not to be limited in scope by the construct deposited, since the deposited embodiment is intended as a single illustration of certain aspects of the invention and any constructs that are functionally equivalent are within the scope of this invention. The deposit of material herein does not constitute an admission that the written description herein contained is inadequate to enable the practice of any aspect of the invention, including the best mode thereof, nor is it to be construed as limiting the scope of the claims to the specific illustrations that it represents. Indeed, various modifications of the invention in addition to those shown and described herein will become apparent to those skilled in the art from the foregoing description and fall within the scope of the appended claims.

10

15

20

25

## REFERENCES:

- EPO 402226. 1990. Transformation vectors for yeast Yarrowia.
- WO 91/00357. 1991. New strain with filamentous fungi mutants, process for the production of recombinant proteins using said strain, and strains and proteins.
- Alam, J., and J.L. Cook. 1990. Reporter genes: Application to the study of mammalian gene transcription. Anal. Biochem. 188:245-254.
- Altschul, S.F., and W. Gish. 1996. Local alignment statistics. *Methods Enzymol.* 266:460-80.
- Altschul, S.F., T.L. Madden, A.A. Schaffer, J. Zhang, et al. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 25:3389-402.
- Austin, C.P., and C.L. Cepko. 1990. Cellular migration patterns in the developing mouse cerebral cortex. *Development*. 110:713-732.
- Bechtold, N., and G. Pelletier. 1998. In planta Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of adult Arabidopsis thaliana plants by vacuum infiltration. *Methods Mol Biol*. 82:259-66.
- Becker, D.M., and L. Guarente. 1991. High-efficiency transformation of yeast by electroporation. *Methods Enzymol.* 194:182-187.
- Beggs, J.D. 1978. Transformation of yeast by a replicating hybrid plasmid.

  Nature. 275:104-109.
- Berger, J., J. Hauber, R. Hauber, R. Geiger, et al. 1988. Secreted placental alkaline phosphatase: A powerful new qunatitative indicator of gene expression in eukaryotic cells. Gene. 66:1-10.
- Bodine, D.M., K.T. McDonagh, N.E. Seidel, and A.W. Nienhuis. 1991. Survival and retrovirus infection of murine hematopoietic stem cells in vitro: effects of 5-FU and method of infection. Exp. Hematol. 19:206-212.
- Capecchi, M.R. 1980. High efficiency transformation by direct microinjection of DNA into cultured mammalian cells. Cell. 22:479.

10

15

20

- Case, M.E., M. Schweizer, S.R. Kushner, and N.H. Giles. 1979. Efficient transformation of Neurospora crassa by utilizing hybrid plasmid DNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 76:5259-63.
- Cepko, C.L., B.E. Roberts, and R.E. Mulligan. 1984. Construction and applications of a highly transmissible murine retrovirus shuttle vector. *Cell*. 37:1053-1062.
- Chagnon, Y.C., L. Perusse, M. Lamothe, M. Chagnon, et al. 1997. Suggestive linkages between markers on human 1p32-p22 and body fat and insulin levels in the Quebec Family Study. Obes Res. 5:115-21.
- Chalfie, M., Y. tu, G. Euskirchen, W.W. Ward, et al. 1994. Green fluorescent protein as a marker for gene expression. Science. 263:802-805.
- Chaney, W.G., D.R. Howard, J.W. Pollard, S. Sallustio, et al. 1986. High-frequency transfection of CHO cells using Polybrene. Somatic Cell Mol. Genet. 12:237.
- Chen, C., and H. Okayama. 1988. Calcium phosphate-mediated gene transfer: A highly efficient system for stably transforming cells with plasmid DNA. *BioTechniques*. 6:632-638.
- Cohen, S.M.N., A.C.Y. Chang, and L. Hsu. 1972. Nonchromosomal antibiotic resistance in bacteria: Genetic transformation of *Escherichia coli* by Rfactor DNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 69:2110.
- de Louvencourt, L., H. Fukuhara, H. Heslot, and M. Wesolowski. 1983. Transformation of Kluyveromyces lactis by killer plasmid DNA. J Bacteriol. 154:737-42.
- de Wet, J.R., K.V. Wood, M. DeLuca, D.R. Helinski, *et al.* 1987. Sturcture and expression in mammalian cells. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 7:725-737.
- Dervan, P.B. 1991. Characterization of protein-DNA complexes by affinity cleaving. *Methods Enzymol.* 208:497-515.
- Elroy-Stein, O., and B. Moss. 1990. Cytoplasmic expression system based on constitutive synthesis of bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase in mammalian cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 87:6743-6747.
- Escudero, J., and B. Hohn. 1997. Transfer and integration of T-DNA without cell injury in the host plant. *Plant Cell*. 9:2135-2142.

25

10

15

20

- Fekete, D.M., and C.L. Cepko. 1993. Retroviral infection coupled with tissue transplantation limits gene transfer in the chick embryo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 90:2350-2354.
- Felgner, P.L., T.R. Gadek, M. Holm, R. Roman, et al. 1987. Lipofectin: A highly efficient, lipid-mediated DNA/transfection procedure. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 84:7413-7417.
- Finer, J.J., K.R. Finer, and T. Ponappa. 1999. Particle bombardmentmediated transformation. Current Topics in microbiology and immunology. 240:59-80.
- Fleer, R., P. Yeh, N. Amellal, I. Maury, et al. 1991. Stable multicopy vectors for high-level secretion of recombinant human serum albumin by Kluyveromyces yeasts. *Biotechnology (N Y)*. 9:968-75.
- Fromm, M., L.P. Taylor, and V. Walbot. 1985. Expression of genes transferred into monocot and dicot plant cells by electroporation. *Proc. Natl. Acad.* Sci. USA. 82:5824-5828.
- Fujita, T., H. Shubiya, T. Ohashi, K. Yamanishi, et al. 1986. Regulation of human interleukin-2 gene: Functional DNA sequences in the 5' flanking region for the gene expression in activated T lymphocytes. Cell. 46:401-407.
- Gallagher, S.R. 1992. GUS protocols: Using the GUS gene as a reporter of gene expression. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Gietz, R.D., R.A. Woods, P. Manivasakam, and R.H. Schiestl. 1998. Growth and transformation of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. In Cells: A laboratory manual. Vol. I. D. Spector, R. Goldman, and L. Leinwand, editors. Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Gorman, C.M., L.F. Moffat, and B.H. Howard. 1982. Recombinant genomes which express chloramphenicol acetyltransferase in mammalian cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2:1044-1051.
- Graham, F.L., and A.J. van der Eb. 1973. A new technique for the assay of infectivity of human adenovirus 5 DNA. Virology. 52:456-.
- Hanahan, D. 1983. Studies on transformation of Escherichia coli with plasmids. J. Mol. Biol. 166:557-580.

25

- Hansen, G., and M.-D. Chilton. 1999. Lessons in gene transfer to plants by a gifted microbe. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 240:21-57.
- Hansen, G., and M.S. Wright. 1999. Recent advances in the transformation of plants. *Trends Plant Sci.* 4:226-231.
- Hinnen, A., J.B. Hicks, and G.R. Fink. 1978. Transformation of yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 75:1929-1933.
- Hoffman, F. 1996. Laser microbeams for the manipulation of plant cells and subcellular structures. Plant Sci. 113:1-11.
- Ishikawa, K., T. Nagase, M. Suyama, N. Miyajima, et al. 1998. Prediction of the coding sequences of unidentified human genes. X. The complete sequences of 100 new cDNA clones from brain which can code for large proteins in vitro. DNA Res. 5:169-76.
- Ishiura, M., S. Hirose, T. Uchida, Y. Hamada, et al. 1982. Phage particle-mediated gene transfer to cultured mammalian cells. Molecular and Cellular Biology. 2:607-616.
- Ito, H., Y. Fukuda, K. Murata, and A. Kimura. 1983. Transformation of intact yeast cells treated with alkali cations. J. Bacteriol. 153:163-168.
- Kaufman, R.J., P. Murtha, D.E. Ingolia, C.-Y. Yeung, et al. 1986. Selection and amplification of heterologous genes encoding adenosine deaminase in mammalian cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 83:3136-3140.
- Kawai, S., and M. Nishizawa. 1984. New procedure for DNA transfection with polycation and dimethyl sulfoxide. Mol. Cell. Biol. 4:1172.
- Kelly, J.M., and M.J. Hynes. 1985. Transformation of Aspergillus niger by the amdS gene of Aspergillus nidulans. Embo J. 4:475-9.
- Leduc, N., and e. al. 1996. Isolated maize zygotes mimic in vivo embryogenic development and express microinjected genes when cultured in vitro. Dev. Biol. 10:190-203.
- Lemischka, I.R., D.H. Raulet, and R.C. Mulligan. 1986. Developmental potential and dynamic behavior of hematopoietic stem cells. Cell. 45:917-927.

5

15

20

25

10

15

20

25

- Littlefield, J.W. 1964. Selection of hybrids from matings of fibroblasts in vitro and their presumed recombinants. *Science*, 145:709-710.
- Lopata, M.A., D.W. Cleveland, and B. Sollner-Webb. 1984. High-level expression of a chloramphenicol acetyltransferase gene by DEAEdextran-mediated DNA traansfection couled with a dimethylsulfoxide or glycerol shock treatment. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 12:5707.
- Luckow, V.A. 1991. Cloning and expression of heterologous genes in insect cells with baculovirus vectors. In Recombinant DNA technology and applications. A. Prokop, R.K. Bajpai, and C. Ho, editors. McGraw-Hill, New York. 97-152.
- Mandel, M., and A. Higa. 1970. Calcium-dependent bacteriophage DNA infection. J. Mol. biol. 53:159-162.
- Miller, A.D., and C. Buttimore. 1986. Redesign of retrovirus packaging cell lines to avoid recombination leading to helper virus production. *Mol. Cell biol.* 6:2895-2902.
- Miller, L.K. 1988. Baculoviruses as gene expression vectors. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 42:177-199.
- Neumann, E., M. Schaefer-Ridder, Y. Wang, and P.H. Hofschneider. 1982. Gene transfer into mouse lyoma cells by electroporation in high electric fields. EMBO J. 1:841-845.
- O'Reilly, D.R., L.K. Miller, and V.A. Luckow. 1992. Baculovirus expression vectors. W.H. Freeman and Company, New York.
- Ou-Lee, T.M., R. Turgeon, and R. Wu. 1986. Uptake and expression of a foreign gene linked to either a plant virus or *Drosophila* promoter in protoplasts of rice, wheat and sorghum. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 83:6815-6819.
- Palmer, T.D., R.A. Hock, W.R.A. osborne, and A.D. Miller. 1987. Efficient retrovirus-mediated transfer and expression of a human adenosine deaminase gene in diploid skin fibroblasts from an adenosie-deficient human. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. 84:1055-1059.

- Pear, W., G. Nolan, M. Scott, and D. Baltimore. 1993. Production of high-titer helper-free retroviruses by transient transfection. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA, 90:8392-8396.
- Ponting, C.P., and L. Aravind. 1999. START: a lipid-binding domain in StAR, HD-ZIP and signalling proteins. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 24:130-2. 4 00001362 4 00001362.
- Potter, H. 1988. Electroporation in biology: Methods, applications,, and instrumentation. *Analytical Biochemistry*. 174:361-373.
- Potter, H., L. Weir, and P. Leder. 1984. Enhancer-dependent expression of human kappa immunoglobulin genes introduced into mouse pre-B lymphocytes by electroporation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 81:7161-7165
- Rassoulzadegan, M., B. Binetruy, and F. Cuzin. 1982. High frequency of gene transfer after fusion between bacteria and eukaryotic cells. *Nature*. 295:257
- Rhodes, C.A., D.A. Pierce, I.J. Mettler, D. Mascarenhas, et al. 1988. Genetically transformed maize plants from protoplasts. Science. 240:204-207.
- Rose, J.K., L. Buonocore, and M. Whitt. 1991. A new cationic liposome reagent mediating nearly quantitative transfection of animal cells. *BioTechniques*. 10:520-525.
- Sandri-Goldin, R.M., A.L. Goldin, J.C. Glorioso, and M. Levine. 1981. High-frequency transfer of cloned herpes simiplex virus type I sequences to mammalian cells by protoplast fusion. Mol. Cell. Biol. 1:7453-752.
- Saunders, J.A., B.F. Matthews, and P.D. Miller. 1989. Plant gene transfer using electrofusion and electroporation. In Electroporation and electrofusion in cell biology. E. Neumann, A.E. Sowers, and C.A. Jordan, editors. Plenum Press, New York. 343-354.
- Schaffner, W. 1980. Direct transfer of cloned genes from bacteria to mammalian cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 77:2163.
- Selden, R.F., K. Burke-Howie, M.E. Rowe, H.M. Goodman, et al. 1986.

  Human growth hormone as a reporter gene in regulation studies

5

15

20

25

10

15

20

25

- employing transient gene expression. *Molecular and Cellular Biololgy*. 6:3173-3179.
- Shigekawa, K., and W.J. Dower. 1988. Electroporation of eukaryotes and prokaryotes: A general approach to the introduction of macomolecules into cells. *BioTechniques*. 6:742-751.
- Shillito, R. 1999. Methods of genetic transformations: Electroporation and polyethylene glycol treatment. In Molecular improvement of cereal crop. I. Vasil, editor. Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. 9-20.
- Shimkets, R.A., D.G. Lowe, J.T. Tai, P. Sehl, et al. 1999. Gene expression analysis by transcript profiling coupled to a gene database query. Nat Biotechnol. 17:798-803. java/Propub/biotech/nbt0899\_798.fulltext java/Propub/biotech/nbt0899\_798.abstract.
- Simonsen, C.C., and A.D. Levinson. 1983. Isolation and expression of an altered mouse dihydrofolate reductase cDNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 80:2495-2499.
- Southern, P.J., and P. Berg. 1982. Transformation of mammalian cells to antibiotic resistanced with a bacterial gene under control of the SV40 early region promoter. *J. Mol. Appl. Gen.* 1:327-341.
- Sreekrishna, K., R.H. Potenz, J.A. Cruze, W.R. McCombie, et al. 1988. High level expression of heterologous proteins in methylotrophic yeast Pichia pastoris. J Basic Microbiol. 28:265-78.
- Surwit, R.S., M.N. Feinglos, J. Rodin, A. Sutherland, et al. 1995. Differential effects of fat and sucrose on the development of obesity and diabetes in C57BL/6J and A/J mice. Metabolism. 44:645-51.
- Thompson, J.A., and e. al. 1995. Maize transformation utilizing silicon carbide whiskers: A review. Euphytica. 85:75-80.
- Tilburn, J., C. Scazzocchio, G.G. Taylor, J.H. Zabicky-Zissman, et al. 1983.

  Transformation by integration in Aspergillus nidulans. Gene. 26:205-21.
- Touraev, A., and e. al. 1997. Plant male germ line transformation. *Plant J.* 12:949-956.
- Trick, H.N., and e. al. 1997. Recent advances in soybean transformation.

  Plant Tissue Cult. Biotechnol. 3:9-26.

10

15

20

- Turner, D.L., E.Y. Snyder, and C.L. Cepko. 1990. Lineage-independent determinationh of cell type in the embryonic mouse retina. *Neuron*. 4:833-845.
- West, D.B., J. Waguespack, B. York, J. Goudey-Lefevre, et al. 1994. Genetics of dietary obesity in AKR/J x SWR/J mice: segregation of the trait and identification of a linked locus on chromosome 4. Mamm Genome. 5:546-52.
- Whitt, M.A., L. Buonocore, J.K. Rose, V. Ciccarone, et al. 1990. TransfectACE reagent promotes transient transfection frequencies greater than 90%. Focus. 13:8-12.
- Wigler, M., A. Pellicer, S. Silversttein, and R. Axel. 1978. Biochemical transfer of single-copy eucaryotic genes using total cellular DNA as donor. Cell. 14:725.
- Williams, D.A., I.R. Lemischka, D.G. Nathan, and R.C. Mulligan. 1984. Introduction of a new genetic material into pluripotent haematopoietic stem cells of the mouse. *Nature*. 310:476-480.
- Wong, T.K., and E. Neumann. 1982. Electric field mediated gene transfer. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications. 107:584-587.
- Yelton, M.M., J.E. Hamer, and W.E. Timberlake. 1984. Transformation of Aspergillus nidulans by using a trpC plasmid. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 81:1470-4.
- Yu, X.X., J.L. Barger, B.B. Boyer, M.D. Brand, et al. 2000. Impact of endotoxin on UCP homolog mRNA abundance, thermoregulation, and mitochondrial proton leak kinetics. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 279:E433-46.
- Zhou, G., and e. al. 1983. Introduction of exogenous DNA into cotton embryos. *Methods Enzymol*. 101:433-481.